arXiv:1605.01693v3 [math.GR] 12 Jan 2017

LOCAL RIGIDITY OF UNIFORM LATTICES

TSACHIK GELANDER AND ARIE LEVIT

Abstract. We establish local topological rigidity for uniform lattices in compactly generated groups, extending the result of Weil from the realm of Lie groups. We generalize the classical local rigidity theorem of Selberg, Calabi and Weil to irreducible uniform lattices in $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ where X is a proper CAT(0) space with no Euclidian factors, not isometric to the hyperbolic plane. We deduce an analog of Wang's finiteness theorem for certain non-positively curved metric spaces.

1. Introduction

Over the last fifty years, rigidity theory played a central role in group theory and its interplay with number theory, geometry and dynamics. Three fundamental results of the theory are local rigidity, strong rigidity and super-rigidity.

In the last two decades there has been a comprehensive, and quite successful, effort to extend this theory from the classical setting of Lie groups and symmetric spaces to the much wider framework of locally compact groups and non-positively curved metric spaces. Much of the attempt has been dedicated towards generalising the two latter phenomena, namely Mostow strong rigidity and Margulis super-rigidity, see e.g. [BM00a, BM00b, Sha00, Mon06, GKM08, CM09a, BF13, BFS13, BF14, SW13]. The present paper focuses on *local rigidity*.

Overview. Let Γ be a uniform lattice in the locally compact group G. Γ is *locally topologically rigid* if small deformations are injective, discrete and uniform. Γ is *locally rigid* if small deformations are given by inner automorphisms of G.[1] Deformations are understood in the sense of point-wise convergence in the representation space of Γ inside G. See §2.1 for precise definitions.

One main goal of this paper is to establish local topological rigidity for uniform lattices in general compactly generated groups — extending Weil's theorem [Wei60] from the realm of Lie groups to locally compact groups. Another main goal is to generalize the classical local rigidity theorems of Selberg, Calabi and Weil [Sel60, Cal61, Wei62] to the general context of CAT(0) groups. Furthermore we study local rigidity from another perspective, in terms of the Chabauty topology.

We apply these results to obtain new finiteness statements on non-positively curved metric spaces in analogy with Wang's classical finiteness theorem.

Topological Local Rigidity. A. Weil proved that uniform lattices in connected Lie groups are locally topologically rigid [Wei60]. We complete the picture by extending this result to all compactly generated groups.

[1] In the general context of locally compact groups it is natural to consider a weaker definition and declare that Γ is locally rigid if any small deformation is given by some $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ rather than $\sigma \in \mathrm{Inn}(G)$. However, we do not adopt this modification and stick to the old tradition.

Theorem 1.1. *Uniform lattices in compactly generated groups are locally topologically rigid.*

It is rather straightforward that a small deformation of a uniform subgroup remains uniform. The main point is in showing that it stays discrete and injective.

Compact generation of G is equivalent to finite generation of a uniform lattice Γ in G. Without this requirement lattices may not be locally topologically rigid. For instance, let $G = \Gamma$ be an infinite rank free group and note that the identity map $i : \Gamma \to \Gamma$ is approximated in the representation space by non-injective maps sending all but finitely many generators to the trivial element.[2]

Let us recall that a topological group admitting a uniform lattice is automatically locally compact [MZ55, 2.2].

Weil's proof relied on the differentiable structure of G as well as the connectedness and the existence of a simply connected cover in the classical case of Lie groups. These notions are not available in the general context under consideration. Instead, our approach is to make use of the action of G on an appropriate Rips complex.

The Rips complex is simply connected whenever G is compactly presented and for this reason we first prove Theorem 1.1 in §5 under this additional assumption and then explain in §6 how it can be removed. §3 is dedicated to several geometric preliminaries that are required for the proof. §4 contains an independent proof of local topological rigidity for compactly presented totally disconnected groups, having the advantage of being rather elementary while providing an insight into the more complicated general case.

Local Rigidity. Local rigidity was first proved by Selberg [Sel60] for uniform lattices in $\mathrm{SL}_n(\mathbb{R})$, $n \geq 3$ and by Calabi [Cal61] for uniform lattices in $\mathrm{PO}(n,1) = \mathrm{Isom}(\mathbb{H}^n)$, $n \geq 3$. Weil [Wei62] generalized these results to uniform irreducible lattices in any connected semisimple Lie group G, assuming that G is not locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R})$, the isometry group of the hyperbolic plane $\mathbb{H}^2$. In the latter case lattices have many non-trivial deformations.

Quite surprisingly, it turns out that $\mathbb{H}^2$ is the only obstruction to local rigidity of uniform lattices in the much greater generality of irreducible CAT(0) spaces.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a proper geodesically complete* CAT(0) *space without Euclidean factors and with* $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ *acting cocompactly. Let Γ be a uniform lattice in* $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$*. Assume that for every de-Rham factor Y of X isometric to the hyperbolic plane the projection of Γ to* $\mathrm{Isom}(Y)$ *is non-discrete. Then Γ is locally rigid.*

As in the works of Selberg, Calabi and Weil local topological rigidity plays an important role in the proof of local rigidity. Theorem 1.1 allows us to apply the Caprace–Monod theory of CAT(0) isometry groups and their lattices [CM09b, CM09a] reducing the question to an irreducible lattice in a product of a semisimple Lie group and a totally disconnected group. In the case where both factors are non-trivial we rely on the superrigidity theorem of Monod [Mon06].

[2]Note that there are examples of locally topologically rigid lattices in non-compactly generated groups. For instance, the additive group $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{2}\right]$ with the discrete topology is locally topologically rigid regarded as a lattice in itself.

The Chabauty space and local rigidity. Consider the space of closed subgroups $\mathrm{Sub}(G)$ of a topological group G equipped with the Chabauty topology. This suggests a different approach to local rigidity formalized in §2.2, namely studying deformations of a given lattice in the Chabauty space. For Lie groups, this was first considered by Macbeath [Mac64].

We prove local rigidity in the Chabauty sense in the context of CAT(0) groups.

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a proper geodesically complete* CAT(0) *space and $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{Isom}(X)$ a uniform lattice. Then Γ admits a Chabauty neighborhood in $\mathrm{Sub}(G)$ consisting of isomorphic uniform lattices.*

See Theorem 9.1 for a sharper statement of this result. In view of Proposition 9.3, local rigidity in the Chabauty sense is a stronger notion than local topological rigidity.

Whenever X has no Euclidean factors and the lattice Γ projects non-discretely to the isometry group of every hyperbolic plane factor of X, Theorem 1.2 implies that the Chabauty neighborhood of Γ given in Theorem 1.3 consists of conjugates of Γ; see Corollary 9.2. Thus Γ is *OCC* or *open conjugacy class subgroup* in the sense of [GKM16].

Finiteness of lattices of bounded covolume. A well-known classical result that relies on local rigidity is the finiteness theorem of Wang. It says that a connected semisimple Lie group G not locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ has only finitely many conjugacy classes of irreducible lattices of co-volume $\leq v$ for every $v > 0$. We refer to Wang's original proof [Wan72] and to [Gel04, Section 13] for a treatment of the case where G has factors isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$.

The two main ingredients of Wang's proof are:

(1) Local rigidity of all lattices in G.
(2) The Kazhdan–Margulis theorem [KM68][3].

Indeed, (2) combined with the Mahler–Chabauty compactness criterion implies that the set of conjugacy classes of lattices of co-volume $\leq v$ is compact with respect to the Chabauty topology, while (1) implies that it is discrete. However, even if one restricts attention to uniform lattices only, it is crucial that (1) holds for all lattices[4] in G. This is illustrated in the case of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ where uniform lattices are locally rigid while the finiteness statement fails. The issue is that a sequence of uniform lattices of bounded co-volume may converge to a non-uniform lattice which is not locally rigid.

We obtain a similar finiteness result for CAT(0) groups. However, since local rigidity in the general CAT(0) context is established for uniform lattices only, we need to make an additional assumption.

Definition 1.4. *Let G be a locally compact group. A family $\mathcal{F}$ of lattices in G is* uniformly discrete *if there is an identity neighborhood $U \subset G$ such that $\Gamma^g \cap U = \{e\}$ holds for every lattice $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}$ and every $g \in G$.*

[3]See Definition 1.8 and the paragraph following it for a discussion of this theorem.

[4]Recall that when G is a semisimple Lie group not locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$ then also the non-uniform irreducible lattices are locally rigid. For rank one groups this was proved by Garland and Raghunathan [GR70]. (An alternative geometric proof for the rank one case is given in [BG04].) For higher rank groups this is a consequence of Margulis' super-rigidity theorem [Mar91].

Lattices belonging to a uniformly discrete family[5] are all uniform [Rag72, 1.12], and their co-volumes are clearly bounded from below.

Theorem 1.5. *Let X be a proper geodesically complete* CAT(0) *space without Euclidean factors and with* $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ *acting cocompactly. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a uniformly discrete family of lattices in* $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ *so that every $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}$ projects non-discretely to the isometry group of every hyperbolic plane factor. Then $\mathcal{F}$ admits only finitely many lattices up to conjugacy with co-vol $\leq v$ for every fixed $v > 0$.*

The proof follows rather immediately from the open conjugacy class property, Corollary 9.2, and is perhaps more conceptual than Wang's original argument.

Recall that a Chevalley group over a non-Archimedean local field of characteristic zero admits an open torsion free subgroup; see e.g. [Ser65, Theorem II.IV.9.5]. In particular the family of all lattices in such a group is uniformly discrete. We deduce:

Theorem 1.6. *Let $G = \mathbb{G}(k)$ be a simple Chevalley group over a non-Archimedean local field k of characteristic* 0*, and let X be the Bruhat–Tits building associated to G. Then for every $v > 0$ there are only finitely many* $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$*-conjugacy classes of lattices Γ in G with co-vol$(\Gamma) < v$.*

Remark 1.7. *Whenever $\mathrm{rank}_k(G) \geq 2$, Theorem 1.6 is a part of the well known Borel–Prasad finiteness theorem* [BP89]*. Our proof however is significantly simpler, avoiding Margulis' super-rigidity and the arithmeticity of lattices.*

Finiteness of lattices containing a given lattice. Prior to the finiteness theorem mentioned above, Wang proved a weaker result that applies in a more general situation. Namely, he showed that a lattice in a semisimple Lie group is contained in only finitely many lattices [Wan67]. This result relies on the Kazhdan–Margulis theorem as well. To obtain a suitable generalization to locally compact groups we introduce the following notion.

Definition 1.8. *Let G be a locally compact group. A family $\mathcal{F}$ of lattices in G has property (KM) if there is an identity neighborhood $U \subset G$ such that for every lattice $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}$ there is an element $g \in G$ satisfying $g\Gamma g^{-1} \cap U = \{e\}$. We will say that G has property (KM) if the family of all lattices in G has property (KM).*

Kazhdan and Margulis showed [KM68] that a semisimple Lie group with no compact factors has property (KM). We refer to [Gel15a] for a short proof of the Kazhdan–Margulis theorem and for additional examples of groups with property (KM).

Theorem 1.9. *Let G be a compactly generated locally compact group. Assume that every lattice in G has a trivial centralizer. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a family of lattices in G with property (KM) and admitting some $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}$ that is finitely generated and is a least element in $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to inclusion. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is finite.*

Examples of groups in which all lattices have trivial centralizers are the isometry groups of certain CAT(0) spaces, see §8.1 for more details.

In particular, it follows that a family of lattices $\mathcal{F}$ containing a given finitely generated lattice Γ and satisfying $\Gamma' \cap U = \{e\}$ for some identity neighborhood U and all $\Gamma' \in \mathcal{F}$ must be finite. Similar results for uniform tree lattices have been

[5]Uniform discreteness is not to be confused with a weaker notion of a *joint discreteness* given in Definition 7.1. See also Footnote 13 concerning this terminology.

obtained by Bass and Kulkarni [BK90]. Recently Burger and Mozes [BM14] have established the analogue of [Wan67] for uniform lattices in products of trees.

Remark 1.10. *§7 dealing with these generalizations of* [Wan67] *is the only part of the current paper that extends without difficulty to non-uniform lattices.*

Invariant random subgroups. Finally, we investigate several questions concerning Chabauty spaces in general. For instance, the *invariant random subgroup* corresponding to a deformation of a uniform lattice is shown to depend continuously on the deformations; see Proposition 11.2. This relies on the continuity of the co-volume of uniform lattices with respect to small deformations established in Proposition 11.3.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Deformations of lattices 5
3. Geometric preliminaries 7
4. Totally disconnected groups 10
5. Compactly presented groups 13
6. Compactly generated groups 20
7. First Wang's finiteness — lattices containing a given lattice 22
8. Local rigidity of CAT(0) groups 24
9. Uniform lattices and the Chabauty space 27
10. Second Wang's finiteness — lattices of bounded covolume 30
11. Invariant random subgroups 31
References 34

2. Deformations of lattices

2.1. **The representation space.** We describe a topological space classifying the representations of a given discrete group into a target topological group. The notion of local rigidity for a lattice is then defined in terms of that space.

Definition 2.1. *Let Γ be a discrete group and G a topological group. The* ***representation space*** *$\mathcal{R}(\Gamma, G)$ is the space of all group homomorphisms from Γ to G with the point-wise convergence topology.*

Note that for any point $r \in \mathcal{R}(\Gamma, G)$ the group $r(\Gamma)$ is a quotient of Γ.

If Γ has a finite generating set Σ then $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma, G)$ is homeomorphic to a closed subspace of G^Σ with the product topology. This subspace is determined by closed conditions arising from the relations among the generators in Σ.

Given a discrete subgroup Γ of G the inclusion morphism $\Gamma \hookrightarrow G$ can naturally be regarded as a point of $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma, G)$. We will denote this point by r_0 and frequently refer to neighborhoods of r_0 in $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma, G)$ as *deformations* of Γ in G.

Recall that a discrete subgroup $\Gamma \leq G$ is a *uniform lattice* in G if there is a compact subset $K \subset G$ such that $G = \Gamma K$. Note that Γ is finitely generated if and only if G is compactly generated [CdlH14, 5.C.3].

Definition 2.2. Γ *is* ***locally topologically rigid*** *if the inclusion morphism* r_0 *admits an open neighborhood* $\mathcal{U}$ *in* $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma, G)$ *such that for every* $r \in \mathcal{U}$ *the subgroup* $r(\Gamma) \leq G$ *is a uniform lattice and* r *is injective.*

Less formally, a uniform lattice is locally topologically rigid if sufficiently small deformations are injective, discrete and co-compact.

Definition 2.3. Γ *is* ***locally rigid*** *if sufficiently small deformations of it are given by conjugation in* G*.*

As mentioned in the introduction, one may consider a more general notion of local rigidity by requiring that a small deformation of Γ is given by an automorphism of G that is not necessarily inner. We have no occasion to use this generalization and local rigidity will always be understood in the strict sense of Definition 2.3.

Local rigidity and finite index subgroups. In certain situations, it is convenient to replace the group Γ by a finite index subgroup having additional properties. The following lemma allows this procedure.

Lemma 2.4. *Let* G *be a topological group,* $\Gamma \leq G$ *a finitely generated lattice and* $\Delta \lhd \Gamma$ *a normal subgroup of finite index. Assume that* $\mathrm{C}_G(\Delta)$ *is trivial. If* Δ *is locally rigid then* Γ *is locally rigid as well.*

Proof. Let $r \in \mathcal{R}(\Gamma, G)$ be a sufficiently small deformation of Γ so that $r(\delta) = g^{-1}\delta g$ for some $g \in G$ and all $\delta \in \Delta$. For every pair of elements $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $\delta \in \Delta$ we have

$$r(\delta)^{r(\gamma)} = r(\delta^\gamma) = \delta^{\gamma g} = r(\delta)^{g^{-1}\gamma g}$$

The group Δ is finitely generated, being a finite index subgroup of Γ. Applying the above argument with δ ranging over a finite generating set for Δ gives

$$g^{-1}\gamma g r(\gamma)^{-1} \in \mathrm{C}_G(r(\Delta)) = \mathrm{C}_G(\Delta)^g = \{e\}$$

which means that $\gamma^g = r(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$ as required. □

2.2. **The Chabauty topology.** There is another related viewpoint on local rigidity, expressed in terms of the Chabauty space of closed subgroups.

Definition 2.5. *Given a locally compact group* G *let* $\mathrm{Sub}(G)$ *denote the space of closed subgroups of* G *equipped with the* ***Chabauty topology*** *generated by the sub-basis consisting of*

- $O_1(U) = \{H \leq G \text{ closed } : H \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$ *for every open subset* $U \subset G$*,*
- $O_2(K) = \{H \leq G \text{ closed } : H \cap K = \emptyset\}$ *for every compact subset* $K \subset G$*.*

The space $\mathrm{Sub}(G)$ is Chabauty compact. A reference to the Chabauty topology is [Bou04, VII.§5]. One major difference between the representation space and the Chabauty topology is that the latter only takes into account the embedding of a given subgroup as a closed subspace of G, a priori irrespective of the algebraic structure of that subgroup.

Let $\Gamma \leq G$ be a uniform lattice. The following two notions are variants of Definitions 2.2 and 2.3 respectively, given in terms of the Chabauty topology.

Definition 2.6. Γ *is* ***Chabauty locally rigid*** *if the point* $\Gamma \in \mathrm{Sub}(G)$ *admits a Chabauty neighborhood consisting of uniform lattices isomorphic to* Γ*.*

Definition 2.7. Γ *has the* ***open conjugacy class (OCC)*** *property if the point* $\Gamma \in \mathrm{Sub}(G)$ *admits a Chabauty neighborhood consisting of conjugates of* Γ*.*

The term OCC was recently coined in [GKM16].

The image of a deformation. Clearly, given any homomorphism $r : \Gamma \to G$ of some group Γ into a topological group G the closure of $r(\Gamma)$ is a closed subgroup of G.

Definition 2.8. *Let Γ be a discrete group and G a locally compact group. The closure of the image of a representation is a well-defined map*

$$\mathrm{C} : \mathcal{R}(\Gamma, G) \to \mathrm{Sub}\,(G)\,, \quad \mathrm{C}(r) = \overline{r(\Gamma)}$$

The map C relates the representation space of Γ in G to the Chabauty space of G. In fact, we show in §9.1 that C is continuous whenever G is compactly generated, and in this sense Chabauty local rigidity is a stronger notion than local topological rigidity.

On the other hand, observe that if the map C is known to be open then local topological rigidity implies Chabauty local rigidity, and likewise local rigidity implies the OCC property. This is the path taken in §9.2 towards Theorem 9.1.

3. Geometric preliminaries

Our research on local topological rigidity has benefited greatly from the ideas and excellent exposition of the new book [CdlH14] *by Cornulier and de la Harpe.*

In this section we collect from [CdlH14, BH99] a toolkit of geometric notions needed for the proof of Theorem 1.1. The central theme is the construction of a geometric action of G on the Rips complex, which is a certain simplicial complex associated to a choice of a metric on G. The notion of compact presentation and its implication to the simple connectedness of the Rips complex is discussed. In addition we discuss geometric actions and length spaces.

3.1. Geometric actions.

Let G be a topological group acting on a pseudo-metric space (X, d). We emphasize that the action need not be continuous.

Definition 3.1. *The action of G on X is:*

1. ***cobounded*** *if there exists a bounded $F \subset X$ with $GF = X$.*
2. ***locally bounded*** *if $\forall g \in G, x \in X$ there exists a neighborhood $g \in V$ with Vx bounded.*
3. ***metrically proper*** *if $\forall x \in X$ the subset $\{g \in G : d(gx, x) \leq R\}$ is relatively compact for all $R > 0$.*
4. ***geometric*** *if it is isometric, metrically proper, locally bounded and cobounded.*

For example, if G is compactly generated and d is a left invariant, proper and locally bounded[6] pseudo-metric then the action of G on (G, d) is geometric.

Proposition 3.2. *Let G be a compactly generated locally compact group and $H \leq G$ be a closed cocompact subgroup. If G is acting on X geometrically then so does H.*

Proof. The only property that needs to be checked is coboundedness. There is a compact subset $K \subset G$ with $G = HK$ and a bounded subset $F \subset X$ with $GF = X$. Therefore $HKF = X$ and it remains to show that $KF \subset X$ is bounded.

Pick a point $x_0 \in X$. Then for any $k \in K$ there is a neighborhood $k \in V_k$ with $V_k x_0$ bounded. As K is compact, this implies that Kx_0 is bounded, and so KF is bounded as well.

□

[6] A pseudo-metric d is *locally bounded* if every point has a neighborhood of bounded diameter. A left invariant, proper and locally-bounded pseudo-metric on a group is called *adapted* in [CdlH14].

Lemma 3.3. *Let G be a locally compact group admitting a geometric action on (X,d). Let $H \leq G$ be a subgroup considered abstractly with the discrete topology. If the restricted action of H on (X,d) is geometric then H is a uniform lattice in G.*

Proof. If H was not discrete in the topology induced from G, there would be a sequence $h_n \in H$ with $h_n \neq e, h_n \to e \in G$. Pick a point $x_0 \in X$. As the G-action is locally bounded, there is an open neighborhood $V \subset G$ containing e such that Vx_0 is bounded. In particular the set $\{h_n x_0\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset X$ is bounded in contrast with assumption that H is acting metrically properly.

H is acting coboundedly on X, and so there exists a bounded subset $F \subset X$ with $HF = X$. Pick a point $x_0 \in X$ and let $K = \overline{\{g \in G \,:\, gx_0 \in F\}}$. Because G is acting metrically proper K is compact. Note that $KH = G$. □

3.2. **Compact presentation.** We recall the notion of compact presentation.

Definition 3.4. *A group G is **compactly presented** if it admits a compact generating set S and a presentation with relations of bounded length in S.*

A compactly presented locally compact group is boundedly presented by all its compact generating sets. See [CdlH14, Section 8] for additional details.

Remark 3.5. *It is known that connected Lie groups, as well as reductive algebraic groups over local fields, are compactly presented. However there are algebraic groups over local fields which are not compactly presented. See* [CdlH14, Section 8.A].

3.3. **The Rips complex.** Let (X,d) be a pseudo-metric space and $c > 0$. Consider the 2-dimensional simplicial complex $\mathrm{R} = \mathrm{R}^2_c(X,d)$ whose vertices are the points of X. Two distinct points $x, y \in X$ span an edge if and only if $d(x,y) \leq c$. Three distinct points $x, y, z \in X$ span a 2-simplex if and only if each pair spans an edge.

Note that the 0-skeleton of $\mathrm{R}^2_c(X,d)$ is naturally identified with X, and we frequently make this identification implicit.

Definition 3.6. *The **Rips 2-complex** $\mathrm{R}^2_c(X,d)$ is the topological realization of the above simplicial complex.*

$\mathrm{R}^2_c(X,d)$ is given the *weak topology*, namely each simplex is regarded with its natural Euclidean topology, and an arbitrary subset is open if and only if its intersection with each simplex is open.

Definition 3.7. *(X,d) is **λ-length** if for all pairs $x, y \in X$ the distance $d(x,y)$ equals $\inf \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} d(x_i, x_{i+1})$, where the infimum is taken over all sequences $x = x_0, \ldots, x_n = y$ of points $x_i \in X$ with $d(x_i, x_{i+1}) < \lambda$.*

Proposition 3.8. *Let G be a compactly presented locally compact group. Then G admits a left-invariant, continuous and proper pseudo-metric d so that $\mathrm{R}^2_c(G,d)$ is connected and simply connected for all c sufficiently large. Moreover we may take the pseudo-metric d to be λ-length for some $\lambda > 0$.*

Proof. According to Proposition 7.B.1 and Milestone 8.A.7 of [CdlH14], and as G is compactly presented, the Rips complex $\mathrm{R}^2_c(G,d)$ is connected and simply connected provided that the pseudo-metric d is left-invariant, proper, locally bounded and large-scale geodesic[7]. The existence of such a pseudo-metric which is moreover

[7] A left-invariant, proper, locally bounded and large-scale geodesic pseudo-metric on a group is called *geodesically adapted* in [CdlH14].

continuous follows from the implication $(i) \Rightarrow (vi)$ in Proposition 4.B.8 of [CdlH14]. The fact that d can be taken to be λ-length follows from the details of the proof, combined with statement (2) of Lemma 4.B.7 of [CdlH14].

□

The Rips complex as a metric space. The Rips complex $\mathrm{R} = \mathrm{R}_c^2(G,d)$ can be viewed as a quotient space obtained by gluing together a family of zero, one and two-dimensional simplices. Assume that we declare edges to have unit length and 2-simplices to be isometric to equilateral Euclidean triangles. This defines a quotient pseudo-metric $\rho = \rho_{G,d}$ on R that is compatible with weak topology on R.

Metric simplicial complexes are considered in Section I.7 of [BH99], where a metric ρ as above is called the *intrinsic pseudo-metric*.

Theorem 3.9. *Let d be a pseudo-metric on G. If the Rips complex $\mathrm{R} = \mathrm{R}_c^2(G,d)$ is connected for some $c > 0$ then* R *endowed with the metric $\rho_{G,d}$ is a complete geodesic space.*

Proof. The simplical complex R has finitely many isometry types of Euclidean simplices (in fact, a unique one in every dimension). Assuming that R is connected Theorem I.7.19 of [BH99] applies. □

It is shown in Proposition 6.C.2 of [CdlH14] that for a pseudo-metric d as in Proposition 3.8, the inclusion of (G,d) into (R,ρ) is a quasi-isometry. This implies that the action of G on (R,ρ) is geometric.

Proposition 3.10. *Every two vertices $g,h \in \mathrm{R}_{(0)} = G$ are connected in* R *by a rectifiable path p of length $l(p) \leq 2 \cdot \rho(g,h)$ whose image lies in the 1-skeleton of* R.

Proof. Let $g,h \in \mathrm{R}_{(0)}$ be any pair of vertices. Since R is a geodesic space there is a path $p' : [0,1] \to \mathrm{R}$ with $p'(0) = g, p'(1) = h$ and length $l(p') = \rho(g,h)$.

We may without loss of generality assume that whenever $p'([a,b]) \subset T$ for a 2-simplex $T \subset \mathrm{R}$ with $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$ and $p'(a), p'(b) \in \partial T$ then $p'_{|[a,b]}$ is a geodesic segment of T. Let p be the path obtained from p' by replacing, for any instance of $a < b$ and T as above, the geodesic segment $p'_{|[a,b]}$ with a shortest path connecting $p'(a)$ and $p'(b)$ along the boundary ∂T. As T is isometric to an equilateral Euclidean triangle, $l(p) \leq 2 \cdot l(p')$. □

3.4. **Length spaces and covering maps.**

Definition 3.11. *A map $f : X \to Y$ of metric spaces is a **local isometry** if for every $x \in X$ there is $s = s(x)$ such that the restriction of f to $B_x(s)$ is an isometry onto $B_{f(x)}(s)$. f is an **s-local isometry** if s can be chosen independently of x.*[8]

Lemma 3.12. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be an s-local isometry where Y is a connected metric space and $X \neq \emptyset$. Then f is a covering map.*

Proof. Denote by $Y' = f(X)$ the image of f. Since f is an s-local isometry, Y' has the property that if $y \in Y'$ then $B_y(s) \subset Y'$. This condition implies that Y' is both open and closed. Since Y is connected we deduce that $Y' = Y$ and f is surjective.

[8]Our definition is different from the usage by several authors, see e.g. Definition 3.4.1 of [Pap05]. We require a somewhat stronger local condition, while [Pap05] requires in addition that a local isometry is surjective.

To establish the covering property, given a point $y \in Y$ consider the open neighborhoods $U_x = B_x(s/2) \subset X$ for all points $x \in f^{-1}(y)$ in the preimage of y. As f is an s-local isometry it restricts to a homeomorphism on each U_x. Moreover $U_{x_1} \cap U_{x_2} \neq \emptyset$ implies $0 < d_X(x_1, x_2) < s$ but $f(x_1) = f(x_2) = y$ which is absurd. So the open neighborhoods U_x are pairwise disjoint as required. □

Pullback length metric. Let $f : X \to Y$ be a local homeomorphism such that Y is a length space and X is Hausdorff. It is possible to pullback the length metric from Y to obtain a metric on X that is *induced by* f (see Definition I.3.24 of [BH99]).

Proposition 3.13. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a local homeomorphism where Y is a length space and X is Hausdorff. Then the metric d_f on X induced by f is a length metric and f becomes a local isometry. d_f is the unique metric on X satisfying these two properties.*

In addition, assume that there is $s > 0$ such that every $x \in X$ has a neighborhood $x \in U$ with $f|_U$ being a homeomorphism onto its image and $B_{f(x)}(s) \subset f(U)$. Then f is an s'-local isometry for some $s' > 0$.

Proof. The first part is Proposition I.3.25 of [BH99]. The statement concerning s-local isometries follows from the proof. □

Compare Lemma 3.12 and Propositions 3.13 with the more general Proposition 3.28 of [BH99].

Proposition 3.14. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a homeomorphism of length spaces that is a local isometry. Then f is an isometry.*

Proof. See Corollary 3.4.6 of [Pap05]. □

4. Totally disconnected groups

We establish local rigidity for the isometry groups of connected and simply-connected proper length spaces assuming the action is smooth.

Theorem 4.1. *Let X be a connected and simply connected proper length space and $G \leq \mathrm{Isom}(X)$ a subgroup acting cocompactly and smoothly. Then uniform lattices of G are locally rigid in $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$.*

The conclusion means that a small deformation of a uniform lattice $\Gamma \leq G$ is a conjugation by an element of $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$.

This theorem, or rather its variant Theorem 4.4, is used in §8 as an ingredient in the proof of local rigidity for CAT(0) groups. The following is yet another application.

Corollary 4.2. *Uniform lattices in compactly presented totally disconnected locally compact groups are locally topologically rigid.*

Note that Corollary 4.2 follows immediately from our main local topological rigidity result. However, the discussion and the independent proof of this special case given in §4.2 is considerably simpler and yet provides an insight into the general case.

4.1. **Isometry groups with smooth action.**

Definition 4.3. *An action of a topological group G on a connected topological space X is **smooth** if compact subsets of X have open point-wise stabilizers in G.*

For example, it is shown in Theorem 6.1 of [CM09b] that actions of totally disconnected isometry groups on certain CAT(0) spaces are smooth.

Theorem 4.4. *Let X be a connected and simply connected proper length space. Assume that X splits as $X = X_1 \times X_2$ and let $G_i \leq \mathrm{Isom}(X_i)$ be subgroups acting cocompactly. Assume that G_1 acts smoothly.*

Let $\Gamma \leq G_1 \times G_2$ be a uniform lattice. Then any sufficiently small deformation of Γ that is trivial on G_2 is a conjugation by an element of $\mathrm{Isom}(X_1)$.

This somewhat cumbersome statement involving a product decomposition is needed with a view towards proving local rigidity for CAT(0) groups.

Note that Theorem 4.1 is obtained as an immediate consequence in the special case where a subgroup G of $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ is acting smoothly. A reader interested in this result may find it easier to read through the proof assuming that the space X_2 is reduced to a point.

Proof of Theorem 4.4. Let $\mathcal{R}_1(\Gamma, G)$ denote the subspace of the representation space $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma, G)$ consisting of these r with $\mathrm{Proj}_2(r(\gamma)) = \mathrm{Proj}_2(\gamma)$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Let $r_0 \in \mathcal{R}_1(\Gamma, G)$ correspond to the inclusion morphism $r_0 : \Gamma \hookrightarrow G$ and $r \in \mathcal{R}_1(\Gamma, G)$ denote a point that should be understood as being close to r_0, the precise degree of closeness will be specified below.

According to Proposition 5.B.10 of [CdlH14] and as X is proper, G is acting geometrically. In particular we may apply Proposition 3.2 and find a compact subset $K \subset X$ such that $X = \Gamma K$. Denote $K_1 = \mathrm{Proj}_1(K)$ and let $O_K \leq G_1$ be the point-wise stabilizer of K_1. Since K_1 is compact, smoothness implies that O_K is an open subgroup of G_1.

Fix some arbitrary $s > 0$ and let $N_s(K)$ denote the s-neighborhood of K, so that $N_s(K)$ is relatively compact and open. Denote

$$\Delta_s = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \,:\, \gamma K \cap N_s(K) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

with Δ_s being finite as Γ is discrete and acting metrically proper.

We now construct a Γ-equivariant covering map $f : X \to X$, where Γ acts on the domain of f via r_0 and on the range of f via r, namely

$$f(\gamma x) = r(\gamma) f(x), \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma, x \in X.$$

We propose to define $f : X \to X$ piece-wise by

$$f_{|\gamma K} = r(\gamma)\gamma^{-1} \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$$

and care needs to be taken for this to be well-defined. Note that f depends on r implicitly. In any case, the above formula is equivariant in the sense that given $x \in \gamma K$ and $\gamma' \in \Gamma$ we have

$$f_{|\gamma'\gamma K}(\gamma' x) = (r(\gamma')r(\gamma)\gamma^{-1}\gamma'^{-1})(\gamma' x) = r(\gamma')(r(\gamma)\gamma^{-1})x = r(\gamma') f_{|\gamma K}(x).$$

An ambiguity in the definition of f arises whenever $\gamma K \cap \gamma' K \neq \emptyset$ for a pair of elements $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$. By the equivariance property we may assume $\gamma' = 1$, that is $\gamma K \cap K \neq \emptyset$ and so $\gamma \in \Delta_s$. To resolve the ambiguity we require that

$$f_{|K \cap \gamma K} = r(\gamma)\gamma^{-1}_{|K \cap \gamma K} = \mathrm{id}_{|K \cap \gamma K}$$

This will certainly be the case given that $r(\gamma)\gamma^{-1}$ fixes the set K point-wise. Note that $\mathrm{Proj}_2(r(\gamma)\gamma^{-1}) = e_2 \in \mathrm{Isom}(X_2)$ and so it suffices to require

$$\mathrm{Proj}_1(r(\gamma)\gamma^{-1}) \in O_K \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{Proj}_1(r(\gamma)) \in O_K \mathrm{Proj}_1(\gamma)$$

This means that if we let $\Omega \subset R_1(\Gamma, G)$ be the open condition given by

$$\Omega = \{r \in R_1(\Gamma, G) \ : \ \mathrm{Proj}_1(r(\gamma)) \in O_K \mathrm{Proj}_1(\gamma), \ \forall \gamma \in \Delta_s\},$$

the function f is well-defined as long as $r \in \Omega$.

We next ensure that f is a local isometry. We define an open neighborhood Ω_s of r_0 in $R_1(\Gamma, G)$ so that for every $f \in \Omega_s$ the function f is an s-local isometry. Let

$$\Omega_s = \{r \in R_1(\Gamma, G) \ : \ \mathrm{Proj}_1(r(\gamma)) \in \mathrm{Proj}_1(\gamma) O_K \ \forall \gamma \in \Delta_s\}$$

and it is easy to see that for every $r \in \Omega_s$ and $\gamma \in \Delta_s$ the map $r(\gamma)\gamma^{-1}$ fixes point-wise the set γK. In particular $f_{|N_s(K)} = \mathrm{id}$ for all such $r \in \Omega_s$.

Given a point $x \in X$ we may by equivariance assume that $x \in K$ and so $B_s(x) \subset N_s(K)$. In particular $f_{|B_s(x)} = \mathrm{id}$ and f is certainly a s-local isometry at x.

We have shown that $f : X \to X$ is a Γ-equivariant s-local isometry. Therefore f is a covering map by Lemma 3.12. The connectedness and simple connectedness of X implies that f is an homeomorphism. A local isometry which is a homeomorphism between length spaces is in fact an isometry, see Proposition 3.14.

To conclude we may regard $f \in \mathrm{Isom}(X)$ and the equivariance formula implies that $f\Gamma f^{-1} = r(\Gamma)$ as long as $r \in \Omega \cap \Omega_s$ for some $s > 0$. Observe that $\mathrm{Proj}_2(f) = e_2 \in \mathrm{Isom}(X_2)$ and so in fact $f \in \mathrm{Isom}(X_1)$.

□

4.2. **The Cayley-Abels graph.** All that is needed to apply Theorem 4.1 towards Corollary 4.2 is the construction of a suitable space X associated with a totally disconnected compactly presented group G. With this aim in mind we recall the notion of a Cayley–Abels graph; see e.g. [KM08, Wes15] or 2.E.10 of [CdlH14].

Proposition 4.5. *Let G be a compactly generated totally disconnected locally compact group. There is a locally finite connected graph X on which G acts transitively, continuously and with compact open stabilizers.*

Proof. By the van-Dantzig theorem there is a compact open subgroup $U \subset G$. We refer to Proposition 2.E.9 of [CdlH14] for the construction of the required graph X. In particular, the vertices of X are taken to be the cosets G/U. □

Any graph satisfying the conditions of Proposition 4.5 is called a *Cayley–Ables* graph[9] for G. It is clear that the action of G on a corresponding Cayley–Ables graph is geometric. In particular all such graphs for G are quasi-isometric to G and therefore to each other, as follows from Theorem 4.C.5 of [CdlH14] (this fact is also proved in [KM08]).

Proposition 4.6. *Let G be a compactly presented totally disconnected locally compact group and let X be a Cayley–Abels graph for G. Then the Rips complex $\mathrm{R}^2_c(X)$ is simply-connected for all c sufficiently large.*

[9]If G is a countable discrete group then a Cayley-Abels graph is simply a Cayley graph with respect to some generating set. In general, it is a Schreier graph on G/U associated to a suitable generating set.

Here the Rips complex is constructed with respect to the graph metric on the vertices of X. In particular, it is a locally finite 2-dimensional simplicial complex.

Proof of Proposition 4.6. In terms of the machinery developed in [CdlH14] the proof is transparent. Namely, G is large-scale equivalent (i.e. quasi-isometric) to X. The fact that G is compactly presented is equivalent to G being coarsely simply connected[10] with respect to some geodesically adapted metric; [CdlH14, 8.A.3]. Hence X is coarsely simply connected, which implies that $\mathrm{R}_c^2(X)$ is simply connected for all c sufficiently large by [CdlH14, 6.C.6].

Alternatively, a hands on proof can be obtained by recalling that the vertices of X correspond to G/U for some compact open subgroup U and verifying that the proof of Proposition 7.B.1, [CdlH14] goes through in this case as well. □

Proof of Corollary 4.2. Let G be a compactly presented totally disconnected locally compact group, X a Cayley–Abels graph for G and $\mathrm{R} = \mathrm{R}_c^2(X)$ the corresponding Rips complex for c sufficiently large so that R is connected and simply connected by Proposition 4.6.

It is clear from the definition of a Cayley–Abels graph that the action of G on R is smooth. Moreover R is a length space, as in Theorem 3.9. Being a locally finite simplicial complex with a single isometry type of simplices in each dimension, R is proper. G is acting transitively on the vertices of R and hence cocompactly on R.

Having verified all the required conditions, the conclusion follows immediately from Theorem 4.1. □

5. Compactly presented groups

In this section we prove Theorem 1.1 with the additional assumption of compact presentation. Let G be a compactly presented group and $\Gamma \leq G$ a uniform lattice. We show that a small deformation $r(\Gamma)$ of Γ is a uniform lattice isomorphic to it.

Overview. The strategy of the proof is as follows. Consider the Rips complex R associated to G. Then R is connected and simply connected and G is acting on R geometrically. The main ingredient of the proof is the construction of a connected space Y and a covering map $f : Y \to \mathrm{R}$ depending on the deformation r. Such a map f must of course be a homeomorphism.

We consider certain open subsets of R called *bubbles*. Y is constructed by gluing together bubbles indexed by elements $\gamma \in \Gamma$. The map f is naturally associated to this gluing and is a local homeomorphism. This allows us to define a pull-back length metric on Y. We then promote f to an s-local isometry using a certain "Lebesgue number" argument with respect to the cover of Y by bubbles.

There is an obvious action of Γ on Y by homeomorphisms, coming from the indexing of the bubbles. f becomes Γ-equivariant with respect to this action, with Γ acting on R via r. Finally, we show that Γ is in fact acting on Y geometrically with respect to the induced length metric and deduce from this Theorem 1.1.

Standing notations. Recall that Γ is a uniform lattice in the compactly presented group G. Let $r_0 \in \mathcal{R}(\Gamma, G)$ correspond to the inclusion and $r \in \mathcal{R}(\Gamma, G)$ be a point close to r_0.

Let $\mathrm{R} = \mathrm{R}_c^2(G, d)$ be the associated Rips complex where d is some left invariant, continuous and proper pseudo-metric on G, see Proposition 3.8. We assume that d

[10]The notion of *coarse simple connectedness* is discussed in chapter 6.A of [CdlH14].

is λ-length and that $c > \lambda$ is sufficiently large so that R is connected and simply-connected. ρ is the intrinsic metric on R, and $\bar{\rho}$ will denote the induced length metric on the space Y.

We will use the notation $U = \mathcal{B}(e, D)$, where $\mathcal{B}$ denotes a bubble as in Definition 5.2. Here $D > 0$ is a fixed radius. An additional radius L (the "Lebesuge number") is introduced in Lemma 5.14 and it is assumed that $L > c > \lambda$ and $D > D(L)$. See Lemma 5.14 for the meaning of the parameter $D(L)$.

Finally, Σ will denote a certain generating set for Γ depending on the choice of the bubble U.

5.1. **Bubbles.** We consider certain open subsets of R that share many of the properties of metric balls.

Definition 5.1. *Let* R *be a simplicial complex and* $A \subset \mathrm{R}_{(0)}$ *a subset of its* 0*-skeleton. The* ***span*** $\mathcal{S}(A) \subset \mathrm{R}$ *of* A *is the union of those simplices in* R *all of whose vertices belong to* A*.*

Definition 5.2. *Let* $(\mathrm{R}, \rho) = \mathrm{R}^2_c(G, d)$ *be the Rips complex of* (G, d) *with its associated metric* ρ*. Let* $0 < \varepsilon < \sqrt{3}/4$ *be fixed. The* ***bubble*** *at a vertex* $g \in G = \mathrm{R}_{(0)}$ *of radius* $D > 0$ *is*

$$\mathcal{B}(g, D, \varepsilon) = \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon\left(\mathcal{S}\left(B\right)\right) = \{x \in \mathrm{R} \,:\, \rho(x, \mathcal{S}(B)) < \varepsilon\}$$

where

$$B = B_{(G,d)}\left(g, D\right).$$

The constant $0 < \varepsilon < \sqrt{3}/4$ will be kept fixed in what follows and its exact value will not affect the arguments in any way. For this reason we will sometimes suppress ε from the notation for $\mathcal{B}$.

We summarize some of the immediate properties of bubbles.

Proposition 5.3. *Let* $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(x, D, \varepsilon)$ *be a bubble.*

(1) $\mathcal{B}$ *is open in* R*.*
(2) If d *is* λ*-length,* $\mathrm{R} = \mathrm{R}^2_c(G, d)$ *and* $D > c > \lambda$ *then* $\mathcal{B}$ *is connected.*
(3) Given $g \in G$ *we have* $g\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(gx, D, \varepsilon)$*.*
(4) $x \in \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(x, D, \varepsilon)$*.*

Let $\mathcal{B}' = \mathcal{B}(x', D', \varepsilon)$ *be an additional bubble.*

(5) If $d(x, x') + D' < D$ *then* $\mathcal{B}' \subset \mathcal{B}$*.*

Let $\mathcal{B}_i = \mathcal{B}(x_i, D_i, \varepsilon)$ *be a collection of bubbles for* $i \in I$ *with* $|I| < \infty$*.*

(6) If $\cap_{i\in I}\mathcal{B}_i \neq \emptyset$ *then this intersection contains a vertex of* R*.*

Proof. Item (1) is obvious.

To establish (2), consider a bubble at the vertex $x = g \in G$. Theorem 3.9 implies that $\rho-$balls are path connected in R, and so it suffices to show that $\mathcal{S}(B)$ is path connected. Any point of $\mathcal{S}(B)$ can in turn be connected by a segment to one of the vertices in B. It remains to show that any vertex $h \in B$ can be connected to g within $\mathcal{S}(B)$. As $d(g, h) < D$ and d is λ-length there is a sequence of points $x_0 = g, x_1, \ldots, x_n = h$ with $d(x_i, x_{i+1}) < \lambda < c$ and $d(g, x_i) < D$. The corresponding path in R connects g to h and is contained in $\mathcal{S}(B)$ as required.

Statement (3) follows immediately from Definition 5.2 and the left G-invariance of the pseudo-metric d and metric ρ, and statements (4) and (5) are clear.

To observe (6) we make an auxiliary construction. Let R' be the simplicial complex obtained by subdividing every 2-cell of R in the obvious way into four 2-cells isometric to an equilateral triangle of side length $1/2$. Every edge of R is subdivided into two new edges in R' of length $1/2$. Clearly the underlying metric spaces of R and R' are isometric. Given a subcomplex $Q \subset \mathrm{R}$ let $\mathcal{N}'(Q) \subset \mathrm{R}'$ denote the union of the interiors of those cells of R' whose closure has non-empty intersection with Q. Since $\varepsilon < \sqrt{3}/4$ we see that

$$\mathcal{B}_i = \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon(\mathcal{S}(B_i)) \subset \mathcal{N}'(\mathcal{S}(B_i))$$

where $B_i = B_{(G,d)}(x_i, D_i)$. However, note that because of the definition of R'

$$\mathcal{N}'(Q_1 \cap Q_2) = \mathcal{N}'(Q_1) \cap \mathcal{N}'(Q_2)$$

for every pair of subcomplexes $Q_1, Q_2 \subset \mathrm{R}$. Moreover $\mathcal{S}(B_i) \cap \mathcal{S}(B_j) = \mathcal{S}(B_i \cap B_j)$. Statement (6) follows.

□

Bubbles provide us with a system of nicely behaved open subsets of R. However, the "center points" of bubbles belong by definition to the 0-skeleton G of R. This will not pose any serious difficulty since any point of R is at most a unit distance from G.

Proposition 5.4. *Let $(\mathrm{R}, \rho) = \mathrm{R}^2_c(G, d)$ be a Rips complex associated to G. Any ρ-bounded subset is contained in the bubble $\mathcal{B}(e, D)$ for all D sufficiently large.*

Proof. Let $F \subset \mathrm{R}$ be a ρ-bounded subset. Let V be the union of all vertices belonging to simplices of R which intersect F non-trivially. Then V is bounded as well and in particular $V \subset B_\rho(e, N) \subset \mathrm{R}$ for some $N > 0$ sufficiently large. Regarded as a subset of G, this implies $V \subset B_d(e, cN)$. We obtain $F \subset \mathcal{S}(V) \subset \mathcal{B}(e, D)$ for all $D > cN$. □

Essentially, Proposition 5.4 follows immediately from the fact that (G, d) and (R, ρ) are quasi-isometric.

5.2. **The nerve of a deformation.**

Definition 5.5. *Let $\Delta \subset \Gamma$ be any subset, $U \subset \mathrm{R}$ an open set and $r \in \mathcal{R}(\Gamma, G)$. The **nerve** $N(\Delta, U, r)$ consists of all subsets $A \subset \Delta$ such that $\bigcap_{\sigma \in A} r(\sigma)U$ is non-empty.*

For example, the condition $\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\} \in N(\Delta, U, r)$ implies that $r(\sigma_1)u_1 = r(\sigma_2)u_2$ with u_1, u_2 ranging over certain open subsets of U.

Proposition 5.6. *Let $\Delta \subset \Gamma$ be any finite subset and $U = \mathcal{B}(g, D) \subset \mathrm{R}$ a bubble at a vertex $g \in G = \mathrm{R}_{(0)}$. Then, denoting $U' = \mathcal{B}(g, D - \alpha)$ the equality*

$$N(\Delta, U, r_0) = N(\Delta, U', r_0) = N(\Delta, U', r)$$

holds for every $r \in \mathcal{R}$ sufficiently close to r_0 and $\alpha > 0$ sufficiently small.

Proof. By definition $A = \{\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_m\} \in N(\Delta, U, r)$ if and only if the bubbles $r(\delta_1)U, \ldots, r(\delta_m)U$ intersect non-trivially. By items (3) and (6) of Proposition 5.3 this happens if and only if there exists $g_A \in G$ that satisfies

$$d(r(\delta)g, g_A) < D \quad \forall \delta \in A.$$

Since the pseudo-metric d is continuous and Δ is finite, for r sufficiently close to r_0 and α sufficiently small the conditions

$$N(\Delta, U, r_0) = N(\Delta, U', r_0) \subset N(\Delta, U', r)$$

are satisfied. Note that $N(\Delta, U, r_0) \supset N(\Delta, U', r_0)$ follows trivially from the definitions.

To obtain the opposite inclusion, first choose $\alpha > 0$ sufficiently small as required above. It is then clear from item (5) of Proposition 5.3 that $r(\delta)U' \subset \delta U$ for all $\delta \in \Delta$ and r sufficiently close to r_0. In particular $N(\Delta, U, r_0) \supset N(\Delta, U', r)$ and equality holds throughout. □

We remark that for our purposes it will only be relevant to consider elements of the nerve of size at most three.

Remark 5.7. *In general, let G be a group acting by homeomorphisms on a connected path-connected space X and admitting an open path-connected subset $U \subset G$ with $GU = X$. Then one can derive a presentation for G from knowledge of the nerve $N(G, U)$. For details see* [Mac64].

5.3. **The space Y.** Consider the action of Γ on the Rips complex R. By Proposition 3.2 and the remark following Theorem 3.9 this action is geometric, and in particular cobounded. By Proposition 5.4 there is some $D > 0$ such that $\Gamma U = \mathrm{R}$ where U is the bubble

$$U = \mathcal{B}(e, D)$$

and $e \in G$ is regarded as a vertex of R. U is open and connected according to Proposition 5.3. In addition, denote

$$\Sigma = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \,:\, \gamma U \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$$

so that Σ is a finite symmetric generating set for Γ, containing the identity element.

Remark 5.8. *Using our notation for nerves, Σ can be defined alternatively as consisting of those $\sigma \in \Gamma$ such that $\{1, \sigma\} \in N(\Gamma, U, r_0)$.*

Construction of Y as a quotient space. Consider the space $\Gamma \times U$ with the product topology, Γ being discrete. We introduce a certain equivalence relation $\mathcal{E}_r$ on $\Gamma \times U$.

Definition 5.9. *$\mathcal{E}_r$ is the equivalence relation generated by*

$$(\gamma, u) \sim_{\mathcal{E}_r} (\gamma', u')$$

if $\gamma' = \gamma\sigma$ for some $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $r(\gamma)u = r(\gamma')u'$.

We emphasize that the element σ in the above definition must belong to Σ.

Definition 5.10. *Let Y be the quotient space $(\Gamma \times U)/\mathcal{E}_r$. Let $[\gamma, u] \in Y$ denote the $\mathcal{E}_r$-class of the point (γ, u). For a subset $V \subset U$ let $[\gamma, V] = \{[\gamma, v] : v \in V\}$.*

The map

$$f' : \Gamma \times U \to \mathrm{R}, \quad (\gamma, u) \mapsto r(\gamma)u$$

factors through a well-defined map

$$f : Y \to \mathrm{R}.$$

Note that the space Y, as well as the map f, implicitly depend on the choice of $r \in \mathrm{R}$, that has not yet been specified but should be thought of as close to r_0.

The following propositions establish several useful properties of the space Y and the map $f : Y \to \mathrm{R}$.

Proposition 5.11. *The space Y is connected, assuming that $r \in \mathcal{R}$ is sufficiently close to r_0.*

Proof. Since U is path-connected so is $[\gamma, U]$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma$; see Proposition 5.3.(2). For $r = r_0$ the proposition follows from the definition of Σ and the fact that $\Gamma = \langle \Sigma \rangle$. The same argument shows that Y is connected as long as r is sufficiently close to r_0 so that Proposition 5.6 applies with the data $\Delta = \Sigma$ and U. □

Proposition 5.12. *The $\mathcal{E}_r$-quotient map is a local homeomorphism onto Y, and in particular Y is Hausdorff. f is a local homeomorphism, namely f restricts to a homeomorphism on $[\gamma, U], \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$.*

Proof. Let $\pi : \Gamma \times U \to Y$ denote the quotient map with respect to $\mathcal{E}_r$ and denote $f' = f \circ \pi$. Note that

$$u_1 \neq u_2 \Rightarrow [\gamma, u_1] \neq [\gamma, u_2] \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma \; \forall u_1, u_2 \in U$$

which implies that the restriction of π to each $\{\gamma\} \times U$ is a homeomorphism and π is a local homeomorphism. Similarly, Proposition 5.3.(1) shows that f' is a local homeomorphism.

The facts that R is Hausdorff and π a local homeomorphism imply that Y is Hausdorff. Since π and f' are both local homeomorphisms and π is surjective, it follows that f is a local homeomorphism as well.

□

Proposition 5.13. *The $\mathcal{E}_r$-closure of $\{e\} \times U$ is contained in $\Sigma \times U$, as long as $r \in \mathcal{R}$ is sufficiently close to r_0.*

Proof. Assume that $D > 0$ is a priori chosen so that $U' = \mathcal{B}(x_0, D - \alpha)$ satisfies $\mathrm{R} = \Gamma U'$, for $\alpha > 0$ sufficiently small. Using Proposition 5.6, up to replacing U by U' if necessary and without loss of generality, we may assume that $N(\Sigma^2, U, r) = N(\Sigma^2, U, r_0)$ for all r sufficiently close to r_0. Denote this nerve by N. In particular, an element $\gamma \in \Sigma^2$ satisfies $\{1, \gamma\} \in N$ if and only if $\gamma \in \Sigma$.

Consider an $\mathcal{E}_r$-equivalent pair of points $(e, u), (\gamma, u') \in \Gamma \times U$. We claim that in fact $\gamma \in \Sigma$. By Definition 5.9 we may write $\gamma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n$ where $\sigma_i \in \Sigma$ (possibly with repetitions), and there are points $u_1, \ldots, u_n \in U$ with $u_n = u'$ and

$$u = r(\sigma_1) \cdots r(\sigma_m) u_m, \text{ for } 1 \leq m \leq n.$$

We use induction to show that $\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m \in \Sigma$, for $1 \leq m \leq n$. The base case $m = 1$ is clear. Next assume that $\sigma = \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{m-1} \in \Sigma$. Since

$$u \in U \cap r(\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_{m-1}) r(\sigma_m) U = U \cap r(\sigma) r(\sigma_m) U$$

this intersection is non-empty. Namely $\{1, \sigma\sigma_m\} \in N$ and by the above $\sigma\sigma_m \in \Sigma$.

The last induction step $m = n$ shows that $\gamma \in \Sigma$ as required.

□

The action of Γ on Y. Γ has a natural action on $\Gamma \times U$, which descends to a Γ-action on Y by homeomorphisms. Note that f is Γ-equivariant with respect to this action on Y and the action via r on X, namely

$$f(\gamma\,[\gamma', u]) = r(\gamma) r(\gamma') u = r(\gamma\gamma') u, \quad \forall u \in U, \gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$$

5.4. f **is a covering map.** We have constructed a local homeomorphism $f : Y \to \mathrm{R}$, depending on $r \in \mathcal{R}$. The Rips complex R is a length space by Theorem 3.9 and Y is Hausdorff by Proposition 5.12. Therefore we may use f to induce a length metric on Y.

Let $\bar{\rho}$ be the pullback length metric on Y induced by $f : Y \to (\mathrm{R}, \rho)$.

This makes f a local isometry according to Proposition 3.13. However, we would like to apply the additional clause of that proposition to deduce that f is actually an s-local isometry for some $s > 0$. Towards this aim we make the following key observation, which is somewhat reminiscent of the existence of a Lebesgue number[11].

Lemma 5.14. *Consider $\mathrm{R} = \mathrm{R}^2_c(G, d)$ and $r \in \mathcal{R}$ sufficiently close to r_0. For every $L > 0$ a radius $D = D(L) > 0$ may be chosen sufficiently large, so that for any vertex $x \in f(Y) \cap G \subset \mathrm{R}_{(0)}$ the inclusions*

$$\mathcal{B}(x, L) \subset f([\gamma, U]) \subset f(Y) \subset \mathrm{R}$$

hold for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

Here D is taken to be radius of the bubble $U = \mathcal{B}(e, D)$ used in Definition 5.10 to construct the space Y. In particular, the choice of the generating set Σ depends on D. It is understood that in our discussion we first specify L and then U and Σ are chosen accordingly.

Proof. Let $L > 0$ be arbitrary and choose $D = D(L)$ sufficiently large so that

$$U' = \mathcal{B}\left(e, D - L - \eta\right)$$

satisfies $\mathrm{R} = \Gamma U'$ for some $\eta > 0$. Say $x \in r(\gamma)U = f([\gamma, U]) \subset f(Y)$ with $\gamma \in \Gamma$. As f is Γ-equivariant, we may assume by applying γ^{-1} that $x \in U$ and it then suffices to show that $\mathcal{B}(x, L) \subset r(\sigma)U$ for some $\sigma \in \Sigma$.

By the assumption on U' we know that $x \in \gamma U'$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. So $x \in U \cap \gamma U' \subset U \cap \gamma U$ which implies $\gamma = \sigma \in \Sigma$. Applying Proposition 5.3 we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}(x, L) \subset \sigma\mathcal{B}(e, D - \eta) = \mathcal{B}(r_0(\sigma), D - \eta).$$

If r is sufficiently close to r_0 so that $d(\sigma, r(\sigma)) < \eta$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ the above conclusion can be modified to read

$$\mathcal{B}(x, L) \subset \mathcal{B}(r(\sigma), D) = r(\sigma)U = f([\sigma, U]) \subset f(Y)$$

as required.

□

Corollary 5.15. *Assume that $\mathrm{R} = \mathrm{R}^2_c(G, d)$ and $U = \mathcal{B}(e, D)$ for $c, D > 0$ sufficiently large, and that r is sufficiently close to r_0 in $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma, G)$. Then f is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. Fix a "Lebesgue constant" $L > c$ and choose $D > 0$ as provided by Lemma 5.14. This in turn determines the bubble $U = \mathcal{B}(e, D)$ and the generating set $\Sigma \subset \Gamma$.

It has already been established that $f : Y \to \mathrm{R}$ is a local isometry. Lemma 5.14 provides the additional assumption needed in Proposition 3.13 to deduce that f is an s-local isometry for some $s > 0$. Lemma 3.12 shows that f is a covering map.

[11]Unlike the classical Lebesgue number, no compactness argument is used in Lemma 5.14.

With Y being connected by Proposition 5.11 and R being connected and simply-connected the fact that f is a homeomorphism follows[12]. We assume throughout that r is sufficiently close to r_0 as required. □

5.5. **A geometric action of Γ on Y.** We have established that $f : Y \to \mathrm{R}$ is a Γ-equivariant homeomorphism. According to Proposition 3.14 the map f is in fact an isometry. In other words, $(Y, \bar{\rho})$ is simply an isometric copy of the Rips complex with an action of Γ via the representation r.

Proposition 5.16. *Γ is acting on $(Y, \bar{\rho})$ geometrically.*

Proof. The action is clearly by isometries. Moreover, as $Y = \Gamma[e, U]$ it is cobounded. As Γ is discrete the action is locally bounded.

It remains to verify that Γ acts metrically properly. Let d_Σ be the word metric on Γ. It suffices to show that $d_\Sigma(\gamma_n, e) \to \infty$ implies $\bar{\rho}([\gamma_n, e], [e, e]) \to \infty$, with e being regarded both as an element of Γ and as a vertex in the 0-skeleton of $U \subset \mathrm{R}$.

Let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ be an arbitrary element and consider the two points

$$y_e = [e, e], \quad y_\gamma = [\gamma, e]$$

of Y. According to Proposition 3.10 there is a path $p : [0, 1] \to Y$ with

$$p(0) = y_e, p(1) = y_\gamma, \quad \text{of length } l(p) \leq 2 \cdot \bar{\rho}(y_e, y_\gamma)$$

so that the image of p lies in the 1-skeleton $Y_{(1)}$.

We claim that

$$l(p) \geq d_\Sigma(\gamma, e) - 2$$

recalling that the length $l(p)$ equals the number of edges along p. To prove this claim let $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{l(p)}$ denote the vertices of $Y_{(0)}$ that appear along the path p. For each $0 \leq m \leq l(p)$ choose $\delta_m \in \Gamma$ as provided by Lemma 5.14 so that $\mathcal{B}(v_m, L) \subset [\delta_m, U]$. Since $d(v_{m-1}, v_m) < c$ and L was chosen so that $L > c$, this implies $v_m \in \mathcal{B}(v_{m-1}, L)$ for all $1 \leq m \leq l(p)$. In particular, by Proposition 5.13 and assuming r is sufficiently close to r_0 we see that $\delta_m \in \delta_{m-1}\Sigma$ for $1 \leq m \leq l(p)$. But the same reasoning shows $\delta_0 \in \Sigma$ and $\gamma \in \delta_m \Sigma$ and the required estimate on $l(p)$ follows.

Putting together this claim and the lower bound on $\bar{\rho}(y_e, y_\gamma)$ in terms of $l(p)$ we conclude that the action of Γ on $(Y, \bar{\rho})$ is indeed metrically proper.

□

Proposition 5.17. *Γ is acting on $(Y, \bar{\rho})$ faithfully.*

Proof. Given an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and a point $[\gamma', x] \in Y$ for some $\gamma' \in \Gamma$ and $x \in \mathcal{B}$, recall that $\gamma[\gamma', x] = [\gamma\gamma', x]$. Therefore an element γ not in Σ certainly acts faithfully by Proposition 5.13. Consider the remaining case of an element $\gamma = \sigma \in \Sigma$. Then

$$\sigma[e, e] = [e, e] \Leftrightarrow r(\sigma) = e$$

and so σ is acting faithfully on Y as long as $r(\sigma) \neq e \in G$. □

As is commonly the case throughout this section, we implicitly assume in both propositions that $r : \Gamma \to G$ is sufficiently close to r_0.

[12]It is for this argument that we crucially rely on G being compactly presented.

5.6. **Proof assuming compact presentation.** Putting together the results of this section we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1 in the compactly presented case, showing that a small deformation r of Γ is injective, discrete and uniform.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 assuming G is compactly presented. Recall the notations introduced in the beginning of this section. In particular, fix $L > c$ arbitrary and let $D = D(L)$ be as required for Lemma 5.14 to hold. Consider the bubble

$$U = \mathcal{B}(e, D) \subset \mathrm{R}$$

so that $\mathrm{R} = \Gamma U$. Let $\Sigma \subset \Gamma$ be the finite generating set corresponding to U.

We are now in a situation to construct the space Y and the map $f : Y \to \mathrm{R}$ depending on r, as in §5.3. Corollary 5.15 and Proposition 3.14 imply that f is a Γ-equivariant isometry with Γ acting on R via r. It was established in Propositions 5.16 and 5.17 that the Γ-action on Y equipped with the length induced metric is geometric and faithful.

We conclude that $r : \Gamma \to r(\Gamma)$ is an isomorphism. Therefore the subgroup $r(\Gamma) \leq G$ considered abstractly with the discrete topology is acting geometrically on R. In particular $r(\Gamma)$ is in fact a uniform lattice in G according to Lemma 3.3. □

We extract from the above proof two corollaries that will become useful in the study of Chabauty local rigidity in §9. As before, let Γ be a uniform lattice in the compactly presented group G.

Corollary 5.18. *There is a compact subset $K \subset G$ so that $r(\Gamma)K = G$ for every deformation r sufficiently close to the inclusion mapping.*

Proof. The fact that the Γ-equivariant map $f : Y \to X$ is an isometry implies in particular that $r(\Gamma)U = \mathrm{R}$. We may take K to be the closed ball $\overline{B}_{(G,d)}(e, D)$, or equivalently the closure in G of the vertex set of the bubble $U = \mathcal{B}(e, D)$. Note that K is compact since the pseudo-metric d is proper. □

Corollary 5.19. *Let $Q \subset G$ be a compact subset so that $\Gamma \cap Q = \emptyset$. Then $r(\Gamma) \cap Q = \emptyset$ provided that the deformation r is sufficiently small.*

Proof. We proceed as in the above proof of local topological rigidity for the compactly presented case. Choose the radius $D > 0$ to be sufficiently large for the purposes of that proof and so that moreover $Q \subset \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}(e, D)$ where $\mathcal{B}$ denotes a bubble at e of radius D, see Proposition 5.4.

Note that $r(\gamma) \in Q$ implies in particular $\mathcal{B} \cap r(\gamma)\mathcal{B} \neq \emptyset$. This last condition implies that $\gamma \in \Sigma$ for some finite subset Σ of Γ and for every sufficiently small deformation r, see Proposition 5.13. The requirement that $r(\sigma) \notin Q$ for every $\sigma \in \Sigma$ is an open neighborhood of the inclusion in $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma, G)$. □

6. Compactly generated groups

We now discuss Theorem 1.1 in the general case where $\Gamma \leq G$ is a uniform lattice and G is compactly generated. The main idea is to reduce the question back to the compactly presented situation, using the following result.

Proposition 6.1. *Let G be a compactly generated locally compact group. Then there is a compactly presented locally compact group $\tilde{G}$ admitting a discrete normal subgroup $N \lhd \tilde{G}$ so that $\tilde{G}/N \cong G$ as topological groups.*

Proof. See Proposition 8.A.13 and Corollary 8.A.15 of [CdlH14] □

Consider the compactly presented group $\tilde{G}$ given by Proposition 6.1 and let

$$p : \tilde{G} \to G, \quad \ker p = N \lhd \tilde{G}$$

be the associated homomorphism and its kernel. For a subgroup $H \leq G$ denote $\tilde{H} = p^{-1}(H) \leq \tilde{G}$.

In this situation we have two representation spaces $\mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\Gamma, G)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R}(\tilde{\Gamma}, \tilde{G})$ with the points $r_0 \in \mathcal{R}$ and $\tilde{r}_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ corresponding to the inclusions $\Gamma \leq G, \tilde{\Gamma} \leq \tilde{G}$ respectively.

Lemma 6.2. *If $\Gamma \leq G$ is a uniform lattice so is $\tilde{\Gamma} \leq \tilde{G}$. Conversely if $\tilde{\Gamma} \leq \tilde{G}$ is a uniform lattice containing N, then $\Gamma = p(\tilde{\Gamma}) \leq G$ is a uniform lattice.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma \leq G$ be a uniform lattice with $G = \Gamma K$ for some compact set $K \subset G$. There is an open set $U \subset G$ such that $U \cap \Gamma = \{e\}$ and so $p^{-1}(U) \cap \tilde{\Gamma} = N$. Let $V \subset \tilde{G}$ be an open set such that $V \cap N = \{\tilde{e}\} \subset \tilde{G}$. Then $\tilde{\Gamma} \cap (p^{-1}(U) \cap V) = \{\tilde{e}\}$ and hence $\tilde{\Gamma} \leq \tilde{G}$ is discrete. Using the fact that $\tilde{G}$ is locally compact and by Lemma 2.C.9 of [CdlH14] there exists a compact subset $\tilde{K} \subset \tilde{G}$ with $p(\tilde{K}) = K$. It follows that $\tilde{\Gamma}\tilde{K} = \tilde{G}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is a uniform lattice.

Conversely let $N \leq \tilde{\Gamma} \leq \tilde{G}$ be a uniform lattice with $\tilde{G} = \tilde{\Gamma}\tilde{K}$ for $\tilde{K} \subset \tilde{G}$ compact. The discreteness of $N = \ker p$ implies that p is a local homeomorphism (see e.g. [Pon66], p. 81). Take $U \subset \tilde{G}$ open and sufficiently small so that $\tilde{\Gamma} \cap U = \{\tilde{e}\}$ and $p(U) \cong U$. Since $N \leq \tilde{\Gamma}$ we also have $p(U) \cap \Gamma = \{e\}$, hence Γ is discrete. Since $G = \Gamma p(\tilde{K})$, Γ is a uniform lattice. □

Definition 6.3. *The space $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ admits a closed subspace $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_N$ given by*

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_N = \{\tilde{r} : \tilde{\Gamma} \to \tilde{G} \ : \ \tilde{r}(n) = \tilde{r}_0(n) = n, \ \forall n \in N\}.$$

Associated to the quotient map p there is a well-defined map

$$p_* : \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_N \to \mathcal{R}, \quad p_* r(\gamma) = p \circ r(\tilde{\gamma}) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma$$

where $\tilde{\gamma} \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ in any element satisfying $p(\tilde{\gamma}) = \gamma$.

Note that clearly $\tilde{r}_0 \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_N$. The map p_* is not injective in general.

Proposition 6.4. *The map $p_* : \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_N \to \mathcal{R}$ is continuous and open.*

Proof. This follows immediately from the definitions. We leave the straightforward verification to the reader. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1 for compactly generated groups. Let G be a compactly generated group and $\Gamma \leq G$ a uniform lattice. Let $\tilde{G}, p, N$ be as in Proposition 6.1. Lemma 6.2 implies that $\tilde{\Gamma} = p^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is a uniform lattice in $\tilde{G}$.

Let $\mathcal{R}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ be the associated representation spaces, as in the above discussion. Applying Theorem 1.1 for compactly presented groups (see §5.6) we deduce the existence of an open neighborhood $\tilde{r}_0 \in \tilde{\Omega} \subset \tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ that satisfies the conclusion of the theorem for the uniform lattice $\tilde{\Gamma} \leq \tilde{G}$. Next consider the subset

$$\Omega = p_*(\tilde{\Omega} \cap \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_N) \subset \mathcal{R}$$

and it follows from Proposition 6.4 that Ω is an open neighborhood of r_0 in $\mathcal{R}$.

We claim that Theorem 1.1 holds for $\Gamma \leq G$ with respect to the neighborhood $\Omega \subset \mathcal{R}$. To see this, consider a representation $r \in \Omega$ and let $\tilde{r} \in \tilde{\Omega} \cap \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_N$ be such

that $p_*\tilde{r} = r$. Then $\tilde{r}$ is injective and $\tilde{r}(\tilde{\Gamma})$ is a uniform lattice in $\tilde{G}$ containing N and satisfying $\tilde{\Gamma} \cong \tilde{r}(\tilde{\Gamma})$. From Lemma 6.2 it follows that $r(\Gamma) = p(\tilde{r}(\tilde{\Gamma}))$ is a uniform lattice in G. The injectivity of $\tilde{r}$ and the fact that $\tilde{r}(n) = n$ for all elements $n \in N \leq \tilde{\Gamma}$ implies that r is injective as well. □

7. First Wang's finiteness — lattices containing a given lattice

In this section we prove Theorem 1.9 which is a finiteness result for the number of lattices that contain a given lattice. This is a generalization of a theorem of H.C. Wang [Wan67], and the proof that we give is inspired by Wang's original proof; see also Chapter 9 of [Rag72].

Definition 7.1. *Let G be a locally compact group. A family of lattices in G is* jointly discrete[13] *if there is an identity neighborhood $U \subset G$ such that $\Gamma \cap U = \{e\}$ for every lattice Γ in that family.*

Recall the notion of property (KM) defined in the introduction. The following lemma relates this property to joint discreteness.

Lemma 7.2. *Let G be group and $\mathcal{F}$ a family of lattices in G with property (KM). If $\mathcal{F}$ has a least element then it is jointly discrete.*

Proof. Let $U \subset G$ be an open neighborhood as in Definition 1.8 and $\Gamma \leq G$ be a least element if $\mathcal{F}$. Since Γ is of co-finite volume, the set

$$\{g\Gamma \in G/\Gamma : g\Gamma g^{-1} \cap U = \{e\}\}$$

is relatively compact and nonempty. Thus, we can construct a Borel fundamental domain $\mathcal{D}$ for Γ in G such that

$$\mathcal{D}_U := \{g \in \mathcal{D} : g\Gamma g^{-1} \cap U = \{e\}\}$$

is relatively compact.

Let $\Gamma' \leq G$ be any lattice in the family $\mathcal{F}$, so that $\Gamma \leq \Gamma'$. Then Γ' admits a fundamental domain $\mathcal{D}'$ which is contained in $\mathcal{D}$. Because of property (KM), there is an element $g \in \mathcal{D}'$ such that $g\Gamma' g^{-1} \cap U = \{e\}$. As $\Gamma \leq \Gamma'$ we have that $g \in \mathcal{D}_U$. Finally since G is locally compact and $\mathcal{D}_U$ is relatively compact

$$U_\Gamma := \bigcap_{g \in \mathcal{D}_U} g^{-1} U g$$

is a neighborhood of the identity in G; see e.g. [MZ55, Theorem 2.4]. By construction the neighborhood U_Γ intersects trivially every lattice from the family $\mathcal{F}$. □

Theorem 1.9 is a consequence of Lemma 7.2 and the following result, which is of independent interest.

Theorem 7.3. *Let G be a compactly generated locally compact group. Assume that every lattice in G has a trivial centralizer and let $\Gamma \leq G$ be a finitely generated lattice. Then every jointly discrete family of lattices in G all containing Γ is finite.*

In the proof we rely on the notion of Chabauty topology as well as the Mahler–Chabauty compactness criterion, see §9. The discussion of these notions in [Rag72, Chapter 1] required separability, but that assumption is in fact redundant.

[13] In the older literature such a family is called *uniformly discrete*. However, in the more recent literature, the notion of uniform discreteness is often used for the stronger property given in Definition 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 7.3. Observe that the co-volume of the lattices Γ' with $\Gamma \leq \Gamma' \leq G$ and $\Gamma' \cap U = \{e\}$ is bounded from below, and since

$$\text{co-vol}(\Gamma) = [\Gamma' : \Gamma] \cdot \text{co-vol}(\Gamma'),$$

the index $[\Gamma' : \Gamma]$ is bounded from above. We may therefore restrict our attention to lattices Γ' as above with $[\Gamma' : \Gamma] = d$ where $d \in \mathbb{N}$ is fixed. Assume that there exists a sequence Γ_n of pairwise distinct lattices such that

$$\Gamma \leq \Gamma_n \leq G, \quad [\Gamma_n : \Gamma] = d, \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_n \cap U = \{e\}.$$

We will arrive at a contradiction by showing that Γ_n has an infinite repetition.

Consider the actions of Γ_n on the finite sets Γ_n/Γ given by the natural homomorphisms $\varphi_n : \Gamma_n \to \text{Sym}(\Gamma_n/\Gamma) \cong S_d$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote

$$\Gamma'_n = \ker \varphi_n \lhd \Gamma_n$$

so that

$$\Gamma'_n \leq \Gamma \quad \text{and} \quad [\Gamma : \Gamma'_n] = \frac{[\Gamma_n : \Gamma'_n]}{[\Gamma_n : \Gamma]} \leq (d-1)!$$

Note that since Γ is finitely generated it has finitely many subgroups of every given finite index. We now consider the group $\Delta \leq \Gamma$ given by

$$\Delta = \bigcap_n \Gamma'_n$$

and deduce from the above discussion that Δ is a finite index subgroup of Γ. In particular Δ is finitely generated as well. In addition, for every pair of elements $\sigma \in \Delta$ and $\delta_n \in \Gamma_n$ we have that

$$\delta_n \sigma \delta_n^{-1} \in \delta_n \Delta \delta_n^{-1} \leq \delta_n \Gamma'_n \delta_n^{-1} = \Gamma'_n \leq \Gamma.$$

We now apply the Mahler–Chabauty compactness criterion. Namely, from the assumption $\Gamma_n \cap U = \{e\}$ it follows that some subnet Γ_{n_α} converges in the Chabauty topology to a discrete subgroup $\Lambda \leq G$ containing Γ and such that

$$\text{co-vol}(\Lambda) \leq \lim_\alpha \text{co-vol}(\Gamma_{n_\alpha}) = d^{-1} \cdot \text{co-vol}(\Gamma).$$

In particular, it follows that $[\Lambda : \Gamma] \geq d$. On the other hand, by joint discreteness, $[\Lambda : \Gamma] < \infty$ and hence Λ is finitely generated.

By the definition of Chabauty convergence, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there is a $\delta_{n_\alpha} \in \Gamma_{n_\alpha}$ with $\delta_{n_\alpha} \to \lambda$. We obtain the following expression for every $\sigma \in \Delta$

$$\lim_\alpha \delta_{n_\alpha} \sigma \delta_{n_\alpha}^{-1} = \lambda \sigma \lambda^{-1}.$$

Since the elements $\delta_{n_\alpha} \sigma \delta_{n_\alpha}^{-1}$ all belong to the discrete group Γ this converging net must eventually stabilize. It other words

$$\delta_{n_\alpha} \sigma \delta_{n_\alpha}^{-1} = \lambda \sigma \lambda^{-1}$$

holds for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_\sigma$. Applying this argument with σ ranging over a finite generating set for Δ and in light of the fact that $\mathrm{C}_G(\Delta)$ is trivial we see that $\delta_{n_\alpha} = \lambda$ for all α sufficiently large.

By the above argument, every generator of Λ belongs to Γ_{n_α} and in particular $\Lambda \leq \Gamma_{n_\alpha}$ for all α sufficiently large. However the fact that $[\Lambda : \Gamma] \geq [\Gamma_{n_\alpha} : \Gamma]$ shows that the net Γ_{n_α} must eventually stabilize, producing the required infinite repetition. $\square$

8. Local rigidity of CAT(0) groups

We turn to the question of local rigidity for uniform lattices in the isometry groups of CAT(0) spaces. Our goal is to prove Theorem 1.2 of the introduction.

In this section we rely to a large extent on the work of Caprace and Monod concerning the structure theory and discrete subgroups of isometry groups of CAT(0) spaces [CM09b, CM09a]. For additional general information concerning these spaces we refer the reader to the books [BH99] and [Pap05].

8.1. CAT(0) **groups.** We now collect several relevant facts about CAT(0) spaces and their lattices to be used below. These are recalled for the reader's convenience.

Let X be a proper CAT(0) space with a cocompact isometry group $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$. Then $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ is locally compact, second countable and is acting on X geometrically. Moreover as X is geodesic and simply-connected $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ is compactly presented; see 5.B.5, 5.B.10, 6.A.10 and 8.A.8 of [CdlH14].

Definition 8.1. *A* CAT(0) lattice *is a lattice in* $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$*. A uniform* CAT(0) *lattice is called a* CAT(0) group*.*

The mere fact that the space X admits a CAT(0) group already has some significant implications. Assume that X is proper and *geodesically complete*, that is every geodesic segment can be extended to a bi-infinite geodesic line, and that $G \leq \mathrm{Isom}(X)$ is a closed subgroup acting cocompactly and admitting a uniform lattice $\Gamma \leq G$. Assume moreover that X has no Euclidean factors. Then:

- The boundary ∂X has finite geometric dimension (Theorem C, [Kle99]).
- Γ is acting minimally and without a fixed point in ∂X (geometric Borel density — Theorem 3.14, [CM09a]). In particular, G acts minimally and admits no fixed point at infinity as well.
- The centralizer of a lattice in $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ is trivial (see 2.7 of [CM09a]).

8.2. **Irreducibility and splitting.** We recall two notions of irreducibility developed in Section 4 of [CM09a]. In particular, we show that a CAT(0)-group virtually decomposes into a product of abstractly irreducible groups.

Definition 8.2. *A discrete group is* ***abstractly irreducible*** *if no finite index subgroup splits nontrivially as a direct product.*

Definition 8.3. *A lattice* $\Gamma \leq G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ *in a product of locally compact groups is* ***irreducible*** *if its projection to every proper subproduct of the* G_i*'s is dense and each* G_i *is non-discrete.*

In this terminology, an abstractly irreducible CAT(0) lattice becomes an irreducible lattice in the product of the closures of its projections (Remark 4.1, [CM09a]).

In the case of a uniform lattice $\Gamma \leq G$ such that G is a cocompact subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ and X a proper CAT(0) space, these two notions of irreducibility turn out to be related. Namely, Γ is abstractly irreducible if and only if for every finite index subgroup $\Gamma' \leq \Gamma$ and a decomposition $X = X_1 \times X_2$, the projections of Γ' to both $\mathrm{Isom}(X_i)$ are non-discrete (see Theorem 4.2 of [CM09a]).

Remark 8.4. *As abstract irreducibility is an algebraic condition, it follows from Theorem 1.1 that in the setting of the previous paragraph the property of having non-discrete projections is stable under small deformations.*

Lemma 8.5. *Let X be a proper* CAT(0) *space without Euclidean factors with* $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ *acting cocompactly and $\Gamma \leq G$ a uniform lattice. Then there is a finite index normal subgroup $\Gamma' \lhd \Gamma$ and product decompositions*

$$\Gamma' = \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_k, \quad X = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_k,$$

such that the $\Gamma_i \leq \mathrm{Isom}(X_i)$ are abstractly irreducible uniform lattices.

We provide a proof for this lemma as we could not find one in the literature.

Proof. Note that every finite index subgroup Γ_0 of Γ is a uniform lattice and so acts minimally and without a fixed point at infinity (Theorem 3.14, [CM09a]). By the splitting theorem (see Theorem 9 and in particular Corollary 10 in [Mon06]) every direct product decomposition of such a Γ_0 into finitely many factors gives rise to an associated product decomposition of X with the same number of factors.

However, there is an a priori canonical maximal isometric splitting of the space X into a product of finitely many irreducible spaces (see Theorem 1.9 of [CM09b]). Therefore the process of passing to a further finite index subgroup of Γ and writing an abstractly reducible group as a direct product must terminate after finitely many iterations. This gives a finite index subgroup $\Gamma' \leq \Gamma$ that decomposes as the direct product of k abstractly irreducible factors Γ_i. The associated decomposition of X is obtained as above, and it is clear that every Γ_i is a uniform lattice in $\mathrm{Isom}(X_i)$.

It remains to show that Γ' can be taken to be normal in Γ. Indeed Γ preserves the decomposition of X upon possibly permuting isometric factors, as do all elements of $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$. Therefore every conjugate $(\Gamma')^\gamma$ with $\gamma \in \Gamma$ decomposes as a direct product in a manner similar to Γ'. The intersection of such conjugates with γ running over finitely many coset representatives for Γ/Γ' is as required. □

8.3. **Totally disconnected isometry groups.** We first deal with the special case of Theorem 1.2 where G is totally disconnected. This relies on the results of §4 and in particular Theorem 4.1.

Theorem 8.6. *Let X be a proper geodesically complete* CAT(0) *space with $G \leq$* $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ *totally disconnected and acting cocompactly. Then uniform lattices in G are locally rigid in* $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$*.*

Namely, a small deformation within G of a uniform lattice $\Gamma \leq G$ is a conjugation by an element of $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$.

Proof. According to Theorem 6.1 of [CM09b] and as G is totally disconnected, G is acting on X smoothly in the sense of Definition 4.3. As X is proper and G is acting cocompactly by the assumptions, Theorem 4.1 applies in the present situation and uniform lattices are indeed locally rigid in $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$.

□

8.4. **Proof of Theorem 1.2.** We proceed to establish the local rigidity of lattices in proper geodesically complete CAT(0) spaces without Euclidean factors. The proof will rely on the following important result, established by Caprace and Monod. Namely, the CAT(0) space X admits a splitting

$$X = M \times Y$$

where M is a symmetric space of non-compact type. Correspondingly, $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ has a finite index open characteristic subgroup $\mathrm{Isom}(X)^*$ that decomposes as

$$\mathrm{Isom}(X)^* = G_{\mathrm{c}} \times G_{\mathrm{td}}, \quad G_{\mathrm{c}} = \mathrm{Isom}(M),\ G_{\mathrm{td}} = \mathrm{Isom}(Y)$$

where G_{c} is a product of almost-connected non-compact center-free simple Lie groups and G_{td} is totally disconnected. See Theorems 1.1, 1.6, 1.8 of [CM09b].

Proof of Theorem 1.2. In light of Lemma 2.4 it suffices therefore to prove that Γ admits a locally rigid normal subgroup of finite index.

Let $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{Isom}(X)$ be a uniform lattice. Up to passing to a finite index normal subgroup and relying on Lemma 8.5 we obtain a splitting of Γ as a direct product of abstractly irreducible subgroups and an associated splitting of X. Treating each factor of X separately and without loss of generality we may assume that Γ is abstractly irreducible to begin with.

Recall the decomposition $\mathrm{Isom}(X)^* = G_{\mathrm{c}} \times G_{\mathrm{td}}$ mentioned above. Up to passing to a further finite index normal subgroup of Γ we may assume, to begin with, that $\Gamma \leq G_{\mathrm{c}}^\circ \times G_{\mathrm{td}}$ where G_{c}° denotes the connected component of the identity of G_{c}.

We treat separately three possible cases. If G_{c}° is trivial the conclusion follows from Theorem 8.6. On the other hand, if G_{td} is trivial we may apply the classical result of Weil. Namely, it is shown in [Wei62] that uniform lattices in connected semisimple Lie groups without compact factors are locally rigid, provided that the projection to every factor locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is non-discrete. This additional condition is ensured by our assumptions.

It remains to deal with the third case where both factors $G_{\mathrm{c}}, G_{\mathrm{td}}$ are non-trivial and Γ is abstractly irreducible. We will rely on the superrigidity theorem of Monod [Mon06] for irreducible uniform lattices in products of locally compact groups. See theorem 8.4 of [CM09b] for a variant of that theorem adapted to our situation (we also refer to [GKM08] for more general results).

Consider any simple factor S of G_{c}°. As Γ is abstractly irreducible the projection of Γ to S is non-discrete. It follows from the Borel density theorem (see e.g. chapter 5 of [Rag72]) that this projection is in fact dense. Similarly, Γ projects non-discretely to every factor of G_{td} corresponding to an irreducible factor of Y. Let $D \leq G_{\mathrm{td}}$ denote the product of the closures of these projections. It follows that Γ is an irreducible uniform lattice in $G_{\mathrm{c}}^\circ \times D$. Moreover as $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{Isom}(X)$ is uniform the subgroup D is acting cocompactly on Y.

Let $r \in \mathcal{R}(\Gamma, \mathrm{Isom}(X))$ be a sufficiently small deformation of Γ so that $r(\Gamma)$ is a uniform lattice in $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$, as guaranteed by Theorem 1.1. The action of $r(\Gamma)$ on X is minimal and without fixed points at infinity, as follows from the results of Caprace and Monod discussed in §8.1. In particular, for every simple factor S of G_{c}° the action of Γ on the corresponding irreducible symmetric space M_S given by the projection of $r(\Gamma)$ into S is clearly minimal and fixes no point of ∂M_S.

Regarding Γ as an irreducible lattice in $G_{\mathrm{c}}^\circ \times D$ we are now precisely in a situation to apply Theorem 8.4 of [CM09b], for every simple subgroup S of G_{c}° and with respect to the given action of Γ on M_S. Therefore this Γ-action extents to a continuous $G_{\mathrm{c}}^\circ \times D$-action by isometries. In other words there is a continuous homomorphism $\alpha : S \to \mathrm{Isom}(M_S)^\circ = S$ such that

$$\pi_S \circ r = \alpha \circ \pi_S \in \mathcal{R}(\Gamma, S)$$

where $\pi_S : G_{\mathrm{c}}^\circ \times D \to S$ is the projection. As S is simple, α must be an automorphism of S. According to Lemma 8.7 below, if r is a sufficiently small deformation α must be inner.

We have showed that up to conjugation by an element of G_c° a sufficiently small deformation of Γ may be assumed to be trivial on the G_c° factor. The result now follows from Theorem 4.4 applied to the isometry group $\mathrm{Isom}(X)^* = G_c \times G_{td}$. □

The following result concerning automorphisms of simple Lie groups was used in the above proof to show that a certain automorphism of a Lie group is inner.

Lemma 8.7. *Let S be a connected center-free simple Lie group and $\Sigma \leq S$ be a finite set generating a dense subgroup. There is an identity neighborhood $U \subset S$ such that if T is an automorphism of S satisfying $T(\sigma)\sigma^{-1} \in U$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$, then T is inner.*

Proof. Recall that $\mathrm{Aut}(S)^\circ = \mathrm{Inn}(S) \cong \mathrm{Ad}(S) \cong S$ and that $\mathrm{Aut}(S)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Lie}(S))$. Moreover, the analog statement for the Lie algebra, obtained by replacing Σ with a spanning set of $\mathrm{Lie}(S)$ and U with a neighborhood of 0 in the vector space $\mathrm{Lie}(S)$, is obvious by the definition of the topology of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Lie}(S))$, since $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Lie}(S))$ is a Lie group and $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Lie}(S))^\circ$ is open subgroup. Now since $\langle\Sigma\rangle$ is dense in S, we can find $d = \dim(S)$ words $W_1, \dots, W_d$ in the generators Σ which fall sufficiently close to the identity element so that $\log(W_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, d$ are well defined, and such that $\log(W_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, d$ span $\mathrm{Lie}(S)$. This implies the lemma. □

9. Uniform lattices and the Chabauty space

We study uniform lattices regarded as points in the Chabauty space, establishing Chabauty local rigidity and the open conjugacy class property; see §2.2. In particular, recall the image of a deformation map $\mathrm{C} : \mathcal{R}(\Gamma, G) \to \mathrm{Sub}\,(G)$. Our current goal is the following result.

Theorem 9.1. *Let X be a proper geodesically complete* CAT(0) *space, $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{Isom}(X)$ a uniform lattice and $r_0 \in \mathcal{R}(\Gamma, \mathrm{Isom}(X))$ the inclusion. Then* C *is a local homeomorphism at r_0.*

Note that Theorem 9.1 implies that Γ is Chabauty locally rigid in the sense of Definition 2.6, which is exactly the statement of Theorem 1.3 of the introduction. As an immediate consequence of Theorems 1.2 and 9.1 taken together we obtain:

Corollary 9.2. *Let X be a proper geodesically complete* CAT(0) *space without Euclidean factors and with* $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ *acting cocompactly. Let $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{Isom}(X)$ be a uniform lattice and assume that for every de-Rham factor Y of X isometric to the hyperbolic plane the projection of Γ to $\mathrm{Isom}(Y)$ is non-discrete. Then Γ has the open conjugacy class property.*

9.1. The map C is continuous.

Proposition 9.3. *Let Γ be a uniform lattice in the compactly generated group G and r_0 the inclusion mapping. Then* C *is continuous at r_0.*

In proving the above proposition we may assume without loss of generality that G is compactly presented. To see this, let $\tilde{G}$ be a compactly presented group admitting a quotient map $p : \tilde{G} \to G$ with discrete kernel $N = \ker p$, as in Proposition 6.1.

Consider the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_N & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathrm{C}}} & \mathrm{Sub}_N(\tilde{G}) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p_*} \\ \mathcal{R}(\Gamma, G) & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{C}} & \mathrm{Sub}\,(G) \end{array}$$

The representation space $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_N$ and the map p_* along the left vertical arrow are described in Definition 6.3. $\mathrm{Sub}_N(\tilde{G})$ is the space of closed subgroups of $\tilde{G}$ containing N and the map p_* from that space to $\mathrm{Sub}\,(G)$ is the projection modulo N. The map $p_* : \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_N \to \mathcal{R}$ is continuous and open by Proposition 6.4 and it is easy to verify that p_* is a homeomorphism. Now observe that the continuity of C follows from that of the map $\tilde{\mathrm{C}}$.

Proof of Proposition 9.3. Recall the sub-basis sets generating the Chabauty topology given in Definition 2.5. It suffices to verify that the preimage under C of every such sub-basis set containing Γ contains a neighbourhood of r_0. This is straightforward for sets of the form $\mathrm{C}^{-1}\left(O_1(U)\right)$ with U being open in G. For sets of the form $\mathrm{C}^{-1}\left(O_2(K)\right)$ with K being a compact subset of G we rely on Corollary 5.19. □

9.2. **The map** C **is open for** CAT(0) **groups.**

Some arguments in this subsection were established in a conversation with Nir Lazarovich, to whom we extend our gratitude.

Lemma 9.4. *Let G be a locally compact group. Fix a symmetric relatively compact identity neighborhood U in G and elements $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in G$. Then there is a Chabauty neighborhood $\Omega \subset \mathrm{Sub}\,(G)$ so that for every subgroup $H \in \Omega$:*

1. *$H \cap U$ is a subgroup of G.*
2. *There are elements $h_1, \ldots, h_n \in H$ with $h_i \in g_i U$ for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$.*
3. *If $L = \overline{\langle h_1, \ldots, h_n \rangle} \leq H$ for elements h_i as above then $L \leq N_G(H \cap U)$.*

Proof. Denote $\Sigma = \{g_1, \ldots, g_n\}$ and assume without loss of generality that Σ is symmetric and contains $e \in G$. Let

$$V = \bigcap_{g \in \Sigma} \bigcap_{u \in U} U^{ug}$$

so that V is an identity neighbourhood (c.f. [MZ55, Theorem 2.4]). In addition let W be an open symmetric identity neighbourhood satisfying $W^2 \subset V$.

Consider the Chabauty neighborhood Ω, given in terms of the notations of Definition 2.5

$$\Omega = \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} O_1(g_i U) \right) \cap O_2(\bar{U} \setminus W).$$

Let $H \in \Omega$ be any closed subgroup of G. Observe that the facts $H \cap W = H \cap U$, $W^2 \subset U$ and $W = W^{-1}$ taken together imply that the intersection $H \cap U$ is a subgroup, establishing (1). Item (2) is immediate and we may choose elements $h_i \in H \cap g_i U$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, n$. It remains to show that the subgroup $L =$

$\overline{\langle h_1, \dots, h_n \rangle}$ satisfies (3), that is L normalizes $H \cap U$. Write $h_i = g_i u_i$ with $u_i \in U$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. Note that

$$(H \cap U)^{h_i} = (H \cap V)^{h_i} = (H \cap V)^{g_i u_i} \subset H \cap U$$

and so $H \cap U$ is normalized by $\langle h_1, \dots, h_n \rangle$ and hence by L, as required. □

Remark 9.5. *Let G be a locally compact group without small subgroups. An argument similar to Lemma 9.4.(1) establishes the well-known fact that discreteness is a Chabauty open property in* $\mathrm{Sub}\,(G)$.

Proposition 9.6. *Let X be a proper connected geodesic metric space and $\Gamma \leq \mathrm{Isom}(X)$ a discrete subgroup acting geometrically. Then Γ admits a Chabauty neighborhood consisting of subgroups whose action on X is cocompact as well.*

Proof. Fix a point $x \in X$ and a radius $R > 0$ so that $\Gamma \cdot B = X$ for $B = B_x(R)$. Let $\Sigma = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \,:\, \gamma B \cap B_x(3R) \neq \emptyset\}$ so that Σ is a finite generating set for Γ.

Recall that the action of $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ on X is continuous [CdlH14, 5.B.4]. Since X is proper the closed ball $\overline{B}_x(2R)$ is compact. As in the classical Lebesgue number lemma, it follows that every generator $\sigma \in \Sigma$ admits a neighborhood $U_\sigma \subset \mathrm{Isom}(X)$ so that the collection $\{u_\sigma B\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ of balls covers $\overline{B}_x(2R)$ for every choice of elements $u_\sigma \in U_\sigma$.

We claim that the Chabauty neighborhood

$$\Omega = \bigcap_{\sigma \in \Sigma} O_1(U_\sigma)$$

of Γ is as required. Consider a subgroup $H \in \Omega$ and choose $h_\sigma \in H \cap U_\sigma$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$. In fact, the finitely generated subgroup $H_0 = \langle h_\sigma \rangle_{\sigma \in \Sigma}$ of H is already acting cocompactly. To see this, consider the open subet $X_0 = H_0 \cdot B$ of X. A standard argument shows that X_0 is closed. The connectedness of X implies that X_0 is all of X. □

Proof of Theorem 9.1. Recall that the continuity of C at r_0 was given in Proposition 9.3 above. It remains to verify that C is open at r_0.

Fix a relatively compact identity neighborhood $U \subset G$ such that $\Gamma \cap U = \{e\}$. Then Γ admits a Chabauty neighborhood $\Omega \subset \mathrm{Sub}\,(\mathrm{Isom}(X))$ so that for every $H \in \Omega$ the intersection $H \cap U$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ normalized by some $L \leq H$, where L is acting cocompactly on X; see Lemma 9.4 and Proposition 9.6.

Consider the fixed point set F of $H \cap U$ in X

$$F = X^{H \cap U} = \{x \in X \,:\, hx = x \quad \forall h \in H \cap U\}$$

Then F is closed and convex. Since $H \cap U$ is a relatively compact group, F is nonempty. Moreover as L normalizes $H \cap U$, the set F is L-invariant. However, since X is proper and geodesically complete and according to [CM09b, Lemma 3.13] the subgroup L is acting minimally. This implies that $F = X$ and so $H \cap U = \{e\}$.

In other words, every $H \in \Omega$ is a uniform lattice, and it remains to show that it is actually a deformation of Γ. We will rely on the fact that Γ is finitely presented [CdlH14, 1.D.4].

Let Σ be a finite generating set for Γ and V be an identity neighborhood in $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$. Up do passing to a smaller Chabauty neighborhood Ω, we may choose elements $h_\sigma \in H \cap V\sigma$ for every $\sigma \in \Sigma$. We propose to construct a map $r_H : \Gamma \to H$

by defining it on generators[14], declaring $r_H(\sigma) = h_\sigma$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$. In order that r_H extends to a well-defined homomorphism, it must send all the relations of Γ to the identity. Since Γ is finitely presented, and in view of the fact that $H \cap U = \{e\}$, the map r_H is indeed well-defined provided that the neighborhood V is sufficiently small. Therefore $r_H(\Gamma) \leq H$ and it remains to show that up to passing once more to a smaller Chabauty neighborhood $r_H(\Gamma)$ must equal H.

There is a compact symmetric subset $K \subset \mathrm{Isom}(X)$ so that $r_H(\Gamma)K = \mathrm{Isom}(X)$ for every closed subgroup H in Ω provided that the neighborhood V is sufficiently small, see Corollary 5.18. Let W be a symmetric identity neighborhood so that $W^2 \subset U$. Let Δ be the finite collection of all elements $\delta \in \Gamma$ so that $K^2 \cap W\delta \neq \emptyset$. Consider the compact subset $Q = K^2 \setminus W\Delta$.

Every closed subgroup H of G belonging to the Chabauty neighborhood Ω_1

$$\Omega_1 = \Omega \cap \bigcap_{\delta \in \Delta} \mathcal{O}_1(W\delta) \cap \mathcal{O}_2(Q) \subset \mathrm{Sub}\left(\mathrm{Isom}(X)\right)$$

satisfies

$$H \cap K^2 \subset H \cap W\Delta \subset r_H(\Gamma)$$

We claim that every closed subgroup $H \in \Omega_1$ satisfies $r_H(\Gamma) = H$. Indeed, since $r_H(\Gamma)K = \mathrm{Isom}(X)$ the assumption $r_H(\Gamma) \lneq H$ would imply that $(H \setminus r_H(\Gamma)) \cap K^2 \neq \emptyset$. This is however ruled out by the above discussion. We conclude that H is equal to the image of the deformation r_H and that C is open at r_0. □

10. Second Wang's finiteness — lattices of bounded covolume

The classical finiteness theorem of Wang [Wan72] states that a connected semisimple Lie group without factors locally isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ admits only finitely many conjugacy classes of irreducible lattices of volume bounded by v for any $v > 0$.

Recall Theorem 1.5 of the introduction which is a suitable generalization of Wang's finiteness to the CAT(0) context, restated here for the reader's convenience.

Theorem. *Let X be a proper geodesically complete* CAT(0) *space without Euclidean factors and with* $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ *acting cocompactly. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a uniformly discrete family of lattices in* $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ *so that every $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}$ projects non-discretely to the isometry group of every hyperbolic plane factor. Then $\mathcal{F}$ admits only finitely many conjugacy classes of lattices with co-vol $\leq v$ for every fixed $v > 0$.*

The proof follows rather immediately from a combination of Mahler–Chabauty compactness criterion and the open conjugacy class property for CAT(0) groups established in §9.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Assume without loss of generality that the family $\mathcal{F}$ is closed under conjugation by elements of $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$. In particular $\mathcal{F}$ is jointly discrete in the sense of Definition 7.1, so that there is an identity neighborhood $U \subset G$ with $\Gamma \cap U = \{e\}$ for all $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}$.

Consider some fixed $v > 0$. The Mahler–Chabauty compactness criterion [Rag72, 1.20] says that the set of lattices in $\mathrm{Sub}(G)$ of co-volume at most v and intersecting U only at the identity element is compact. In particular $\mathcal{F}$ is relatively compact.

[14]The notation r_H is a slight abuse of notation, since the map r_H depends not only on the group H but also on the choices of the elements h_σ.

On the other hand, every lattice in the Chabauty closure of $\mathcal{F}$ is uniform by [Rag72, 1.12] and therefore has the open conjugacy class property by Corollary 9.2. The required finiteness result follows.

□

The following consequence of Theorem 1.5 covers many classical examples:

Corollary 10.1. *Let X and $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ be as in Theorem 1.5. Let $G \leq \mathrm{Isom}(X)$ be a cocompact subgroup and $\mathcal{F}$ a uniformly discrete family of lattices in G. Then $\mathcal{F}$ admits only finitely many $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$-conjugacy classes of lattices with co-vol $\leq v$ for every fixed $v > 0$.*

Since G is cocompact in $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$, for a lattice $\Gamma \leq G$ the covolumes of Γ in G and in $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$ are proportional. Therefore to deduce Corollary 10.1 from Theorem 1.5 it suffices to show that $\mathcal{F}$ is uniformly discrete in $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$. This follows from:

Lemma 10.2. *Let H be a locally compact group, $G \leq H$ a cocompact subgroup and $\mathcal{F}$ a family of subgroups which is uniformly discrete in G. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is uniformly discrete regarded as a family of lattices in H.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is uniformly discrete in G with respect to the open subset $U \subset G$. Say $U = G \cap V$ with $V \subset H$ open. Moreover let $K \subset H$ be a compact symmetric subset so that $H = GK$ and choose an open subset $W \subset V$ so that $k^{-1}Wk \subset V$ for every $k \in K$, using e.g. [MZ55, Theorem 2.4]. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is uniformly discrete in H with respect to W. Indeed, for $\Gamma \in \mathcal{F}$ and an element $h = gk$ with $h \in H, g \in G$ and $k \in K$ we have the equation $\Gamma^h = (\Gamma^g)^k$. □

10.1. **Linear groups over local fields.** Corollary 10.1 immediately implies a Wang-type finiteness result for uniform lattices in simple Chevalley groups over non-Archimedean local fields. Such groups act on the associated Bruhat–Tits building X which is a CAT(0) space; see e.g. [BH99, II.10.A].

Corollary 10.3. *Let G be a simple Chevalley group over a non-Archimedean local field and let X be the Bruhat–Tits building associated to G. Fix an identity neighborhood $U \subset G$ and some $v > 0$. Then there are only finitely many $\mathrm{Isom}(X)$-conjugacy classes of lattices Γ in G with co-vol$(\Gamma) < v$ and $\Gamma^g \cap U = \{e\}$ for every $g \in G$.*

In particular, Theorem 1.6 of the introduction follows as an immediate corollary of the above in the case of zero characteristic.

Remark 10.4. *Assume that G has rank at least two. In certain situations relying on a result of Tits it is possible to show that in fact $G = \mathrm{Isom}(X)$. In these cases the statement of Corollary 10.3 is somewhat simplified.*

11. Invariant random subgroups

The group G is acting on its space of closed subgroups $\mathrm{Sub}\,(G)$ by conjugation and this action is continuous.

Definition 11.1. *An **invariant random subgroup** of G is a G-invariant Borel probability measure on $\mathrm{Sub}\,(G)$. Let $\mathrm{IRS}\,(G)$ denote the space of all invariant random subgroups of G equipped with the weak-$*$ topology.*

The space $\mathrm{IRS}(G)$ is compact. For a further discussion of invariant random subgroups the reader is referred to [ABB$^+$12, Gel15b].

Observe that a lattice $\Gamma \leq G$ gives rise to an invariant random subgroup $\mu_\Gamma \in \mathrm{IRS}(G)$ by pushing forward the G-invariant probability measure on G/Γ to $\mathrm{Sub}(G)$ via the map $g\Gamma \mapsto g\Gamma g^{-1}$.

Assume that G is compactly generated and Γ is uniform. In light of Theorem 1.1 the lattice Γ is locally topologically rigid. Fix a neighborhood Ω of r_0 in $\mathcal{R}(\Gamma, G)$ so that every $r \in \Omega$ is injective and $r(\Gamma)$ is discrete and cocompact. There is a natural map

$$\mathrm{I} : \Omega \to \mathrm{IRS}(G), \quad \mathrm{I}(r) = \mu_{r(\Gamma)}.$$

Proposition 11.2. *The map* I *is continuous at the point* r_0*. Moreover local rigidity of* Γ *is equivalent to* I *being locally constant at* r_0*.*

The above proposition depends on understanding co-volumes of lattices as a function of deformations.

11.1. **Co-volumes of lattices.** Let Γ be a uniform lattice in the compactly generated group G and Ω be as considered in the paragraph preceding Proposition 11.2. The co-volume of the deformation $r(\Gamma)$ in G is well defined[15] for $r \in \Omega$.

Proposition 11.3. *The co-volume function is continuous at the point* r_0*.*

The proof of Proposition 11.3 will rely on the following lemma, which is related to Serre's geometric volume formula [BL01, Chapter 1.5]. Note that Proposition 11.3 and Lemma 11.4 apply equally well to get continuity at any other $r \in \Omega$.

Lemma 11.4. *Let* $K \subset G$ *be a fixed compact set. Given* $r \in \Omega$ *let* $\pi_r : G \to G/r(\Gamma)$ *denote the projection and let* μ_r *denote the induced measure on* $G/r(\Gamma)$*. Consider the function*

$$v_K : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}, \quad v_K(r) = \mu_r(\pi_r(K)).$$

Then v_K *is continuous at* r_0*.*

Proof. Given a compact subset Q of G, consider the function

$$n_Q : G \times \Omega \to \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}, \quad n_Q(x, r) = \left|\{\gamma \in r(\Gamma) \ : \ x\gamma \in Q\}\right|.$$

Note that $n_Q(\cdot, r)$ is $r(\Gamma)$-invariant from the right, and so descends to a well defined function on $G/r(\Gamma)$.

In terms of the function n_Q, and using integration theory, we obtain the formula:

$$\begin{aligned} v_Q(r) = \mu_r(\pi_r(Q)) &= \int_{G/r(\Gamma)} \mathbb{1}_{\pi_r(Q)}(x) \mathrm{d}\mu_r(x) = \\ &= \int_{G/r(\Gamma)} \frac{1}{n_Q(x,r)} \left(\sum_{\gamma \in r(\Gamma)} \mathbb{1}_Q(\tilde{x}\gamma) \right) \mathrm{d}\mu_r(x) = \int_G \frac{1}{n_Q(x,r)} \mathbb{1}_Q(x) \mathrm{d}\mu(x) = \\ &= \int_Q \frac{1}{n_Q(x,r)} \mathrm{d}\mu(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{x}$ is an arbitrary lift of x. Here as well as below μ stands for the Haar measure on G.

[15]The covolume of a lattice is only well-defined up to a choice of normazliation for the Haar measure μ on G. To resolve this ambiguity we may assume without loss of generality that co-vol$(G/\Gamma) = 1$.

The following two facts[16] are consequences of Proposition 9.3 and the definition of the Chabauty topology:

(1) $\lim_{r\to r_0} n_K(x,r) \leq n_K(x,r_0)$, for all $x \in G$.
(2) If Q is a compact set containing K in its interior, then

$$\lim_{r\to r_0} n_Q(x,r) \geq n_K(x,r_0)$$

for all $x \in G$.

Since $n_K(x,r) \geq 1$ for all $x \in K$, it follows from Item (1), the above volume formula and the dominated convergence theorem that $v_K(r_0) \leq \lim_{r\to r_0} v_K(r)$.

To obtain the reverse inequality, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and pick a compact set Q containing K in its interior and satisfying $\mu(Q \setminus K) < \varepsilon$. Note that this implies

$$\int_{Q\setminus K} \frac{1}{n_Q(x,r)} \mathrm{d}\mu(x) < \epsilon$$

for all $r \in \Omega$. It follows from item (2) and the volume formula that

$$v_K(r_0) = \int_K \frac{1}{n_K(x,r_0)} \mathrm{d}\mu(x) \geq \lim_{r\to r_0} \int_K \frac{1}{n_Q(x,r)} \mathrm{d}\mu(x).$$

Combining these two last inequalities, we get

$$v_K(r_0) + \epsilon > \lim_{r\to r_0} \int_Q \frac{1}{n_Q(x,r)} \mathrm{d}\mu(x) = \lim_{r\to r_0} v_Q(r) \geq \lim_{r\to r_0} v_K(r).$$

The result follows by letting $\varepsilon \to 0$.

□

Proof of Proposition 11.3. Using an argument analogous to the one of the discussion in §9.1 we may assume that G is compactly presented.

We deduce from Corollary 5.18 that there is a compact subset $K \subset G$ such that K surjects onto G/Γ as well as onto $G/r(\Gamma)$ for all $r \in \Omega'$, where $\Omega' \subset \Omega$ is some open neighborhood of r_0.

Taking into account Lemma 11.4 and as soon as $r \in \Omega'$ we obtain

$$\text{co-vol}(G/\Gamma) = v_K(r_0) = \lim_{r\to r_0} v_K(r) = \lim_{r\to r_0} \text{co-vol}(G/r(\Gamma)).$$

□

Proof of Proposition 11.2. Let $r_\alpha \in \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\Gamma, G)$ be a net[17] of representations of Γ into G indexed by $\alpha \in A$ and converging to the inclusion mapping r_0. For every $\alpha \in A$ denote $\Gamma_\alpha = r_\alpha(\Gamma) \leq G$.

Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a Borel fundamental domain for Γ in G. There is an increasing sequence of compact subsets $K_m \subset \mathcal{D}$ so that the Haar measure of $\mathcal{D} \setminus K_m$ goes to zero as $m \to \infty$. Moreover the argument on p. 28 of [Rag72] shows that every K_m injects into G/Γ_α for all $\alpha > \beta_1 = \beta_1(m)$ sufficiently large in A.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary and take $l = l(\varepsilon)$ so that $\mu(\mathcal{D} \setminus K_l) < \varepsilon$. Let $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ be a Borel fundamental domain for Γ_α in G for every $\alpha \in A$. The subsets $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ can be chosen in such a way that $K_l \subset \mathcal{D}_\alpha$ for all $\alpha > \beta_1(l)$.

[16]The convergence $r \to r_0$ is understood in the sense of nets. If G is metrizable then it is enough to consider sequences.

[17]Once more, if G is metrizable we may speak of sequences instead of nets.

Let μ be the Haar measure on G and $\mu_{|\mathcal{D}}$ its restriction to $\mathcal{D}$. Then $\mu_{|\mathcal{D}}$ projects to the G-invariant probability measure on G/Γ. Similarly let $\mu_{|\mathcal{D}_\alpha}$ denote the restrictions of μ to $\mathcal{D}_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in A$. Write

$$\mu_{|\mathcal{D}} = \mu_{|K_l} + \mu_{|\mathcal{D}\setminus K_l}, \quad \mu_{|\mathcal{D}_\alpha} = \mu_{|K_l} + \mu_{|\mathcal{D}_\alpha \setminus K_l}$$

Recall that $\mu(\mathcal{D} \setminus K_l) < \varepsilon$ by the choice of l. In addition, recall that the covolume function is continuous at the point $r_0 \in \mathcal{R}$ according to Proposition 11.3 and so

$$\mu(\mathcal{D}_\alpha) = \text{co-vol}(G/\Gamma_\alpha) \xrightarrow{\alpha \in A} \text{co-vol}(G/\Gamma) = \mu(\mathcal{D}).$$

This implies that $\mu(\mathcal{D}_\alpha \setminus K_l) < 2\varepsilon$ for all $\alpha > \beta_2 = \beta_2(\varepsilon)$ in A.

Consider the maps φ_α defined for every $\alpha \in A$

$$\varphi_\alpha : K_l \to \text{Sub}\,(G)\,, \quad \varphi_\alpha(k) = r_\alpha(\Gamma)^k.$$

Since K_l is compact, the functions φ_α converge uniformly to the function $\varphi : K_l \to \text{Sub}\,(G)$ where $\varphi(k) = \Gamma^k$. In particular

$$(\varphi_\alpha)_* \mu_{|K_l} \xrightarrow{\alpha \in A} (\varphi)_* \mu_{|K_l}.$$

Taking $\varepsilon \to 0$ in the above argument gives the continuity of I at r_0. The second assertion of the proposition concerning local rigidity is obvious. □

References

[ABB+12] Miklos Abert, Nicolas Bergeron, Ian Biringer, Tsachik Gelander, Nikolay Nikolov, Jean Raimbault, and Iddo Samet. On the growth of l^2-invariants for sequences of lattices in lie groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1210.2961*, 2012.

[BCGM16] Uri Bader, Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace, Tsachik Gelander, and Sh Mozes. Lattices in amenable groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1612.06220*, 2016.

[BF11] Uri Bader and Alex Furman. Boundaries, Weyl groups, and superrigidity. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1109.3482*, 2011.

[BF13] Uri Bader and Alex Furman. Algebraic representations of ergodic actions and superrigidity. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1311.3696*, 2013.

[BF14] Uri Bader and Alex Furman. Boundaries, rigidity of representations, and Lyapunov exponents. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1404.5107*, 2014.

[BFS13] Uri Bader, Alex Furman, and Roman Sauer. Integrable measure equivalence and rigidity of hyperbolic lattices. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 194(2):313–379, 2013.

[BFS15] Uri Bader, Alex Furman, and Roman Sauer. On the structure and arithmeticity of lattice envelopes. *Comptes Rendus Mathematique*, 353(5):409–413, 2015.

[BG04] Nicolas Bergeron and Tsachik Gelander. A note on local rigidity. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 107(1):111–131, 2004.

[BH99] Martin R. Bridson and André Haefliger. *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, volume 319. Springer Science & Business Media, 1999.

[BK90] Hyman Bass and Ravi Kulkarni. Uniform tree lattices. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 3(4):843–902, 1990.

[BL01] Hyman Bass and Alexander Lubotzky. *Tree lattices*. Springer, 2001.

[BM00a] Marc Burger and Shahar Mozes. Groups acting on trees: from local to global structure. *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS*, 92:113–150, 2000.

[BM00b] Marc Burger and Shahar Mozes. Lattices in product of trees. *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS*, 92:151–194, 2000.

[BM14] Marc Burger and Shahar Mozes. Lattices in products of trees and a theorem of H.C. Wang. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 46(6):1126–1132, 2014.

[Bou04] Nicolas Bourbaki. *Integration II*. Springer, 2004.

[BP89] Armand Borel and Gopal Prasad. Finiteness theorems for discrete subgroups of bounded covolume in semi-simple groups. *Publications Mathématiques de l'IHÉS*, 69:119–171, 1989.

[BS06] Uri Bader and Yehuda Shalom. Factor and normal subgroup theorems for lattices in products of groups. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 163(2):415–454, 2006.

[Cal61] Eugenio Calabi. On compact Riemannian manifolds with constant curvature. In *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math I*, volume 3, pages 155–180, 1961.

[CdlH14] Yves Cornulier and Pierre de la Harpe. Metric geometry of locally compact groups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1403.3796*, 2014.

[CM09a] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace and Nicolas Monod. Isometry groups of non-positively curved spaces: discrete subgroups. *Journal of Topology*, 2(4):701–746, 2009.

[CM09b] Pierre-Emmanuel Caprace and Nicolas Monod. Isometry groups of non-positively curved spaces: structure theory. *Journal of Topology*, 2(4):661–700, 2009.

[FHT08] Benson Farb, G Christopher Hruska, and Anne Thomas. Problems on automorphism groups of nonpositively curved polyhedral complexes and their lattices. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0803.2484*, 2008.

[Gel04] Tsachik Gelander. Homotopy type and volume of locally symmetric manifolds. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 124(3):459–515, 2004.

[Gel15a] Tsachik Gelander. Kazhdan-Margulis theorem for invarant random subgroups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1510.05423*, 2015.

[Gel15b] Tsachik Gelander. A lecture on invariant random subgroups. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1503.08402*, 2015.

[GKM08] Tsachik Gelander, Anders Karlsson, and Gregory A. Margulis. Superrigidity, generalized harmonic maps and uniformly convex spaces. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 17(5):1524–1550, 2008.

[GKM16] Yair Glasner, Daniel Kitroser, and Julien Melleray. From isolated subgroups to generic permutation representations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1601.07538*, 2016.

[GR70] Howard Garland and MS Raghunathan. Fundamental domains for lattices in $\mathbb{R}-$rank 1 semisimple Lie groups. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 279–326, 1970.

[Kle99] Bruce Kleiner. The local structure of length spaces with curvature bounded above. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 231(3):409–456, 1999.

[KM68] D. Kazhdan and G. Margulis. A proof of Selberg's hypothesis. *Math. Sbornik*, 75(117):162–168, 1968.

[KM08] Bernhard Krön and Rögnvaldur G Möller. Analogues of cayley graphs for topological groups. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 258(3):637–675, 2008.

[Mac64] Murray Macbeath. Groups of homeomorphisms of a simply connected space. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 473–488, 1964.

[Mar91] Gregori A. Margulis. *Discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups*, volume 17. Springer Science & Business Media, 1991.

[Mon06] Nicolas Monod. Superrigidity for irreducible lattices and geometric splitting. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 19(4):781–814, 2006.

[MZ55] Deane Montgomery and Leo Zippin. *Topological transformation groups*, volume 1. Interscience Publishers New York, 1955.

[Pap05] Athanase Papadopoulos. *Metric spaces, convexity and nonpositive curvature*, volume 6. European mathematical society, 2005.

[Pon66] Lev Semenovich Pontryagin. *Topological groups*. Gordon and Breach, 1966.

[Rag72] Madabusi Santanam Raghunathan. *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, volume 68. Springer Verlag, 1972.

[Sel60] Atle Selberg. On discontinuous groups in higher-dimensional symmetric spaces. In *Contributions to function theory*, pages 147–164. Bombay, 1960.

[Ser65] Jean-Pierre Serre. Lie groups and Lie algebras. *Lecture Notes in Math*, 1500, 1965.

[Sha00] Yehuda Shalom. Rigidity of commensurators and irreducible lattices. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 141(1):1–54, 2000.

[SW13] Yehuda Shalom and George A Willis. Commensurated subgroups of arithmetic groups, totally disconnected groups and adelic rigidity. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 23(5):1631–1683, 2013.

[Wan67] H.C. Wang. On a maximality property of discrete subgroups with fundamental domain of finite measure. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 89(1):124–132, 1967.

[Wan72] H.C. Wang. Topics on totally discontinuous groups, symmetric spaces, edited by W. Boothby and G. Weiss, 1972.

[Wei60] André Weil. On discrete subgroups of lie groups. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 369–384, 1960.

[Wei62] André Weil. On discrete subgroups of lie groups (II). *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 578–602, 1962.

[Wes15] Phillip Wesolek. Elementary totally disconnected locally compact groups. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 110(6):1387–1434, 2015.